RESEARCH





Evaluation of the Deki Reader[™], an automated RDT reader and data management device, in a household survey setting in low malaria endemic southwestern Uganda

Caesar Oyet^{1*†}, Michelle E. Roh^{2†}, Gertrude N. Kiwanuka¹, Patrick Orikiriza³, Martina Wade², Sunil Parikh^{2‡}, Juliet Mwanga-Amumpaire^{1,3‡} and Yap Boum II^{1,3‡}

Abstract

Background: Early diagnosis of suspected malaria cases with a rapid diagnostic test (RDT) has been shown to be an effective malaria control tool used in many resource-constrained settings. However, poor quality control and quality assurance hinder the accurate reporting of malaria diagnoses. Recent use of a portable, battery operated RDT reader (Deki Reader[™], Fio Corporation) has shown to have high agreement with visual inspection across diverse health centre settings, however evidence of its feasibility and usability during cross sectional surveys are limited. This study aimed to evaluate the performance of the Deki Reader[™] in a cross-sectional survey of children from southwestern Uganda.

Methods: A two-stage, stratified cluster sampling survey was conducted between July and October 2014 in three districts of southwestern Uganda, with varying malaria transmission intensities. A total of 566 children aged 6–59 months were included in the analysis. Blood samples were collected and tested for malaria using: the SD Bioline Malaria Ag Pf/Pan RDT and microscopy. Results were compared between visual inspection of the RDT and by the Deki Reader[™]. Diagnostic performance of both methods were compared to gold-standard microscopy.

Results: The sensitivity and specificity of the Deki Reader[™] was 94.1% (95% CI 69.2–99.6%) and 95.6% (95% CI 93.4–97.1%), respectively. The overall percent agreement between the Deki Reader[™] and visual RDT inspection was 98.9% (95% CI 93.2–99.8), with kappa statistic of 0.92 (95% CI 0.85–0.98).

Conclusions: The findings from this study suggest that the Deki Reader[™] is comparable to visual inspection and performs well in detecting microscopy-positive *Plasmodium falciparum* cases in a household survey setting. However, the reader's performance was highly dependent on ensuring adequate battery life and a work environment free of dirt particles.

Keywords: Deki Reader[™], Malaria, Rapid diagnostic test, Malaria surveillance, Uganda

[‡]Sunil Parikh, Juliet Mwanga-Amumpaire and Yap Boum II senior authors contributed equally to this article

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2017. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

^{*}Correspondence: caesaroyet@yahoo.com

[†]Caesar Oyet and Michelle E. Roh authors contributed equally to this article

¹ Mbarara University of Science and Technology, P.O. Box 1410, Mbarara,

Uganda

Background

Malaria imposes a substantial burden in a majority of sub-Saharan African countries. The widespread use of malaria rapid diagnostic testing (RDT) has been a critical step towards the prompt and accurate diagnosis of malaria [1-4]. Although the use of malaria RDTs has vastly improved malaria case management, the accurate and timely reporting of malaria cases for monitoring malaria trends remains a challenge in resource-constrained countries [5]. For malaria surveillance, most resource-limited countries rely on health facility records which are often incomplete and challenging to access [5, 6]. Collection of malaria data requires monthly reporting of cases from every health facility to a district record office where data is forwarded to the central record office. Delays in reporting impede timely decision-making for effective implementation of malaria control programmes [7].

To improve the accuracy of malaria diagnosis and reporting, a cell-phone based device, the Deki Reader[™], has been introduced by Fionet Corporation. The Deki ReaderTM has a workflow plan similar to a standard operating procedure which increases the likelihood that test procedures are adhered to for accurate diagnosis. Following processing of the RDT, the Deki Reader[™] interprets and reports the results based on an analysis of the picture captured by the reader [8]. In addition to the test result, the patient's demographic information, global positioning system (GPS) data of the testing site, testing personnel, date and time of testing can also be collected and all the information is transferred to a secured cloud database [9]. Data in the cloud database can be accessed by any authorized personnel and feedback can be administered in realtime. Such timely reporting can assist national malaria control programme officers in monitoring disease burden and targeting their efforts to high burden areas. Previous reports have shown the performance of the Deki Reader to have high agreement with visual malaria RDT inspection at the health facility level [8, 10, 11]. However, there have been no studies that have evaluated the performance of the Deki Reader[™] in a household survey setting.

Methods

Study design and population

This sub-study was part of a cross sectional study aimed at assessing the prevalence of malaria parasitaemia among children 6–60 months of age across three districts of southwestern Uganda [12]. Sampling was performed between July and October 2014, during the low transmission season, in Bushenyi, Isingiro, and Mbarara district. Participants were sampled as previously described [12]. In brief, 20 villages from each district were stratified by their urban and rural status and randomly selected using the probability proportionate to the population size sampling [13]. The number of households selected from each village was weighted based on the population size of each district and households were randomly selected using the procedure of the World Health Organization (WHO) Extended Programme on Immunization (EPI) [14]. Only one child was selected per household. If more than one child met the inclusion criteria in a home, the participating child was randomly selected. All children whose parents provided parental consent were surveyed regardless of their current malaria status.

Description of the Deki ReaderTM

The Deki Reader[™] is a portable, battery-operated malaria RDT reader targeted for use by health workers. It is able to interpret a wide array of commercially-available malaria RDTs, provide workflow guidance, and capture and transmit point-of-care testing and patient demographic information over local mobile phone networks onto a secure cloud database [8, 9]. A portal provides access to web-based data analysis tools for principal investigators who are able to remotely monitor testing, review RDT images, and communicate feedback to the study team. The study investigators and research personnel of this study were trained in a 2-day workshop on how to operate the Deki Reader[™]. Alongside RDT results, data on GPS coordinates of the testing site, age and sex were collected on the device.

Study procedures

Upon obtaining informed consent from the parent/ guardian of the child, a short questionnaire was administered to the parent/guardian of the child to collect data on demographics and malaria control practices of the household. A trained laboratory technician collected approximately 250 µL (5 drops) of blood from each child by finger prick. Samples were used to detect malaria parasitaemia by blood smear and by RDT, using a combined Plasmodium falciparum histidine-rich protein-2 (HRP-2) and *Plasmodium* lactate dehydrogenase (pLDH) RDT (SD Bioline Malaria Ag Pf/Pan, Catalogue No. 05FK60, Standard Diagnostics Inc, Republic of Korea). RDT testing was performed according to the manufacturer instructions. The SD Bioline Malaria Ag Pf/Pan RDT detects both HRP-2 antigen specific to P. falciparum and pLDH exhibited by all Plasmodium species. A test result was only considered positive if the internal control and either the HRP-2 band and/or pLDH band(s) were positive. The RDT was immediately processed by the Deki ReaderTM by a separate research staff technician so that both research technicians remained blinded to each RDT interpretation. Participants who were RDT positive by visual inspection and/or the Deki ReaderTM were referred to the nearest health centre for case management.

Microscopy diagnosis in the laboratory

For microscopy examination, thick and thin capillary blood smears were prepared as previously described [12]. Smears were stained with a 10% Giemsa solution (pH 7.2) for 15 min. Thick blood smears were used to detect parasite density, while thin films were examined to confirm Plasmodium species. A smear was declared negative if examinations using the 100 \times oil immersion lens did not show any gametocytes or trophozoites [15]. Parasite density was calculated by counting the number of parasites against 200 leukocytes (or 500, if the count is < 10 parasites/200 leukocytes) multiplied by 8000, assuming 8000 WBCs/µL [16]. All smears were independently read by two microscopists who were blinded to the RDT results. A discordant result was defined as a difference of parasite counts of > 50 parasites/WBCs. Discordant results were resolved by a third reader [15].

For all patients with either a positive microscopy or RDT result, species confirmation was conducted using nested polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (Promega GoTaq Flexi DNA polymerase, Promega, Madison, WI) to detect either *P. falciparum, Plasmodium vivax, Plasmodium ovale, Plasmodium malariae,* and *Plasmodium knowlesi.* Primers were complementary to the *Plasmodium* small subunit ribosomal DNA gene as previously described by Singh et al. [17]. Positive controls were acquired from Malaria Research and Reference Reagent Resource Center (MR4, BEI Resources Repository, NIAID, USA).

Statistical analysis

The data was analysed using STATA version 12.0 (Stata-Corp, College Station, TX, USA). Percentage of positive, negative, and overall agreement and Cohen's kappa were used to measure inter-rater agreement between visual inspection and the Deki Reader[™]. Sensitivity and specificity were used to assess the diagnostic performance of the Deki Reader[™] compared to the gold-standard, blood smear microscopy. McNemar's test was used to compare differences in sensitivity and specificity between visual inspection and the Deki Reader[™]. P-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Ethics approval

The study was approved by the Institutional Research Committees of Mbarara University of Science and Technology, Uganda National Council for Science and Technology (Protocol Number HS 1684), and the Yale School of Public Health.

Results

Description of study population

Six-hundred and thirty-one children under 5 years of age were surveyed from July to October 2014. The mean age was 2.38 years (standard deviation 1.26 years) and comprised of 49% males (Table 1). Prevalence of malaria by microscopy was 3.5 and 6.7% by RDT using visual inspection [12]. Of the 631 children, data on 65 (10%) children were declared invalid by the Deki ReaderTM, with the message "RDT put too late". These events occurred when the Deki Reader[™] ran out of battery during the testing process and were, therefore, excluded from the analysis. Thus, the final sample size for this study consisted of 566 children. Four hundred and twelve (72.8%) children were sampled from rural villages. One hundred and twenty-seven (22.4%) children were recruited from Bushenvi district, 203 (35.9%) from Isingiro, and 236 (41.7%) from Mbarara. The proportion of blood-smear positive malaria cases was 3.9% in Bushenyi, 4.9% in Isingiro, 0.8% in Mbarara, and 3.0% across all districts. The proportion of RDT positive cases by visual inspection was 5.5% in Bushenyi, 13.8% in Isingiro, 1.3% in Mbarara, and 6.7% overall.

Timeliness of data reporting by the Deki Reader[™]

Seventy-four percent of the Deki Reader^{$^{\text{M}}$} records were uploaded onto the cloud database within the first 24 h of the data collection, 92% reached the database within 48 h, and all records were available on the portal within 1 week of collection.

Reliability of Deki Reader[™] interpretation of RDT results compared to visual inspection

Of the 566 samples, the Deki ReaderTM detected 40 (7.2%) positive cases compared to 38 (6.7%) by visual inspection. The Deki ReaderTM recorded 30 positive results in rural

Table 1 Demographic characteristics of the study participants

• •	,,	•		
Characteristic	Mbarara (n = 236)	Bushenyi (n = 127)	lsingiro (n = 203)	Total (N = 566)
Male (%)	112 (47.5)	61 (48.0)	105 (51.7)	278 (49.1)
Female (%)	124 (52.5)	66 (52.0)	94 (48.3)	288 (50.9)
Age (years), mean \pm SD	2.38 ± 1.24	2.33 ± 1.20	2.41 ± 1.32	2.38 ± 1.26
Rural (%)	177 (75.0)	103 (81.1)	132 (65.0)	412 (72.8)
Urban (%)	59 (25.0)	24 (18.9)	71 (35.0)	154 (27.2)

	Visual inspection		Kappa (95% CI)	Percent agreement (95% CI)			
	Positive	Negative		Positive	Negative	Overall	
Deki Reader™							
Positive	36	4	0.92 (0.85–0.98)	94.7 (82.3–99.4)	99.2 (98.1–99.8)	98.9 (92.3–99.8)	
Negative	2	524					

Table 2 Comparison of SD Bioline Malaria Ag Pf/Pan RDT interpretation between the Deki Reader[™] and visual inspection

areas as compared to 10 in urban areas (p value 0.8). The overall percent agreement between the Deki ReaderTM and visual inspection was 98.9% (95% CI 93.2–99.8). Positive percent agreement was 94.7% (95% CI 82.3–99.4) and negative percent agreement was 99.2% (95% CI 98.1–99.8) (Table 2). The kappa agreement between visual malaria RDT interpretation and Deki ReaderTM was 0.92 (95% CI 0.85–0.98) (Table 2). The overall performance agreement of Deki ReaderTM between the rural and urban villages was 99.9% (95% CI 97.3–100%). Positive and negative percent performance agreement of the Deki ReaderTM between rural and urban villages was 97.9% (95% CI 96.7–99.1%) and 98.8% (95% CI 97.2–99.6%), respectively.

Diagnostic performance of visual inspection of RDT against microscopy

Table 3 presents the diagnostic performance of visual inspection of the SD Bioline Malaria Ag Pf/Pan RDT compared to microscopy. Of the 566 samples tested, 17 samples were microscopy-positive compared to 38 that were found positive by RDT. Fifteen samples were positive by both diagnostic methods and 25 samples were discordant between the two methods. Two samples were falsely negative by RDT, resulting in 88.2% (95% CI 63.7–98.5) sensitivity. Parasite density of these two samples was 53 parasites/ μ L and 109 parasites/ μ L. Twenty-three samples were falsely positive by visual inspection, making specificity 95.8% (95% CI 93.8–97.3).

Performance of Deki Reader $\ensuremath{^{\!\!\!\!\!\!\!^{\mathsf{TM}}}}$ and visual interpretation against microscopy

Forty (7.1%) samples were considered positive by the Deki Reader[™] compared to 17 (3.0%) based on microscopy (Table 3). Twenty-five samples were discordant between results read from the Deki ReaderTM and microscopy; however, the Deki Reader[™] only produced one false negative result. Twenty-four samples were false positives by the Deki Reader[™] when compared to microscopy, though, 13/24 (54.2%) turned out to be PCR-positive. Sensitivity and specificity of the Deki Reader[™] was 94.1% (95% CI 73.0-98.9) and 95.8% (95% CI 93.8-97.2), respectively. Differences in sensitivity and specificity values between visual inspection and the Deki ReaderTM were not statistically significant (p = 0.40 and p = 0.06, respectively). Seven of the samples were repeated when trained field laboratory personnel found dirt particles lodged into the result window of the RDT and the reader initially detected the dirty RDTs as the "wrong RDT".

Discussion

This study aimed to evaluate the accuracy and reliability of the Deki ReaderTM when used in a household survey setting. Compared to visual inspection of the SD Bioline Malaria Ag Pf/Pan RDT, the results given by the Deki ReaderTM showed almost perfect agreement (kappa > 80%), according to Landis and Koch's criteria for interrater reliability [18–20], and no significant differences in sensitivity and specificity. Findings from this study

Table 3 Performance of visual interpretation and Deki Reader[™] of the SD Bioline Malaria Ag Pf/Pan RDT against microscopy

	Microscopy		Sensitivity (95% CI)	p-value*	Specificity (95% Cl)	p-value [*]
	Positive	Negative				
Visual interpretation						
Positive	15	23	88.2 (63.7, 98.5)		95.8 (93.8, 97.3)	
Negative	2	526		0.4		0.06
Deki Reader™						
Positive	16	24	94.1 (73.0, 99.0)		95.5 (93.4, 96.9)	
Negative	1	525				

Reported p-value using McNemar's test to assess difference in sensitivity between visual inspection and the Deki Reader[™]

are consistent with studies conducted in rural Tanzania and Columbia which obtained similar agreement values [8] and an in vitro study that demonstrated the limit of detection of the Deki ReaderTM to be similar to that of microscopy (approximately 20 parasites/ μ l) [21].

The Deki ReaderTM was able to identify one additional microscopy-positive case that was undetectable by visual inspection, thereby resulting in a slightly higher sensitivity value compared to visual inspection (94.1% vs. 88.2%), though this difference was not statistically significant (p = 0.70). Though the current study however was not powered to detect a difference in sensitivities between the visual inspection and Deki ReaderTM, our findings are consistent with previous studies which have shown the Deki ReaderTM to demonstrate high diagnostic performance, comparable to that of visual inspection of RDT by trained personnel [9, 10, 21, 22].

In addition to its high diagnostic performance, the Deki ReaderTM has shown to be beneficial in other ways. It can accurately collect information on global positioning system (GPS) coordinates of the testing site, patient demographics, and the time and date of testing. This information is securely stored onto a cloud database which can be accessed directly by authorized personnel at any time [21], suggesting the Deki ReaderTM can be a practical tool for malaria surveillance. The Deki ReaderTM did not show variation in performance when used in the rural villages and urban villages (Table 2), and network connectivity did not affect the performance of the reader.

The Deki Reader[™] did exhibit a few limitations. The timeliness of reporting was highly dependent on cell phone receptivity and the strength of connection. Though 74% of the data was uploaded on the same day, when sampling occurred in villages with sporadic or no cell-phone network access, data was not uploaded onto the portal until the reader was in transported to an area of established network connectivity. Thus, if the Deki ReaderTM is to be used in remote settings, timely data reporting to the central site may require transporting the reader to a network receptive area where data can be readily uploaded. Additionally, 10% of the samples resulted in failed readings by the Deki Reader[™], the majority of which were considered to be due to low battery power. The device needed to be recharged every 5 days to avoid invalid results and this will need to be considered for long field visits to areas little to no access to electricity. Lastly, seven samples had to be repeated when dirt particles were lodged into the result window of the RDT. Though it is not certain that dirt was the causal reason for the invalid processing error, the RDT and corresponding work station should be kept clean to maintain high reader performance, which was challenging in field, particularly during the rainy season.

Conclusions

The results of this study provide further evidence toward the feasible use of the Deki Reader[™] across varied health settings. The present findings suggest that the Deki Reader[™] is comparable to visual inspection of RDTs and performs well in detecting microscopy-positive P. falciparum cases. In addition to accurately recording diagnostic information, epidemiological data was readily collected and uploaded onto the database within a week, demonstrating the reader's potential role in strengthening malaria surveillance, especially in remote areas. In large scale household cross sectional surveys, such as the Malaria Indicator Survey, the Deki Reader[™] may be an attractive tool to increase accurate and complete data collection and reduce the need for additional equipment in the field, including paper-based case report forms and GPS receivers. However, extra care must be taken to maintain the integrity of the RDT membrane prior to testing.

Authors' contributions

CO, MER designed the study, JMA, PO, CO and MER collected the samples and conducted laboratory studies. CO, YB and SP drafted the manuscript, GNK, MW, YB, SP, MER finalized the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹ Mbarara University of Science and Technology, P.O. Box 1410, Mbarara, Uganda. ² Yale School of Public Health, 60 College Street, New Haven, CT 06520, USA. ³ Epicentre Mbarara Research Centre, P.O. Box 1956, Mbarara, Uganda.

Acknowledgements

The study was supported by the Medical Education for Services to All Ugandans of the Medical Education Partnership Initiative (MESAU-MEPI) project, Grant Number 5R24TW008886; the Yale School of Public Health Down's Fellowship; and the Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF) Epicentre in Mbarara, Uganda, Uganda Student Support Research Fund.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 29 March 2017 Accepted: 28 October 2017 Published online: 07 November 2017

References

- Weber MW, Kallingray SD, Palmer A, Jaffar S, Mulholand EK, Greenwood BM. Pallor as a clinical sign of severe anaemia in children: an investigation in the Gambia. Bull World Health Organ. 1997;75(Suppl 1):113–8.
- Mabunda S, Aponte JJ, Tiago A, Alonso P. A country-wide malaria survey in Mozambique. II. Malaria attributable proportion of fever and establishment of malaria case definition in children across different epidemiological settings. Malar J. 2009;8:74.
- D'Acremont V, Lengeler C, Mshinda H, Mtasiwa D, Tanner M, Genton B. Time to move from presumptive malaria treatment to laboratory-confirmed diagnosis and treatment in African children with fever. PLoS Med. 2009;6:e252.

- Doudou MH, Mahamadou A, Ouba I, Lazoumar R, Boubacar B, Arzika I, et al. A refined estimate of the malaria burden in Niger. Malar J. 2012;11:89.
- 5. Afrane YA, Zhou G, Githeko AK, Yan G. Utility of health facility-based malaria data for malaria surveillance. PLoS ONE. 2013;8:e54305.
- Mpimbaza A, Miles M, Sserwanga A, Kigozi R, Wanzira H, Rubahika D, et al. Comparison of routine health management information system versus enhanced inpatient malaria surveillance for estimating the burden of malaria among children admitted to four hospitals in Uganda. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2015;92:18–21.
- Rowe AK, Rowe SY, Snow RW, Korenromp EL, Schellenberg JR, Stein C, et al. The burden of malaria mortality among African children in the year 2000. Int J Epidemiol. 2006;35:691–704.
- Shekalaghe S, Cancino M, Mavere C, Juma O, Mohammed A, Abdulla S, et al. Clinical performance of an automated reader in interpreting malaria rapid diagnostic tests in Tanzania. Malar J. 2013;12:141.
- Mudanyali O, Dimitrov S, Sikora U, Padmanabhan S, Navruz I, Ozcan A. Integrated rapid-diagnostic-test reader platform on a cellphone. Lab Chip. 2012;12:2678–86.
- Soti DO, Kinoti SN, Omar AH, Logedi J, Mwendwa TK, Hirxi J, et al. Feasibility of an innovative electronic mobile system to assist health workers to collect accurate, complete and timely data in a malaria control programme in a remote setting in Kenya. Malar J. 2015;14:430.
- Herrera S, Vallejo AF, Quintero JP, Arevalo-Herrera M, Cancino M, Ferro S. Field evaluation of an automated RDT reader and data management device for *Plasmodium falciparum/Plasmodium vivax* malaria in endemic areas of Colombia. Malar J. 2014;13:87.
- Roh ME, Oyet C, Orikiriza P, Wade M, Kiwanuka GN, Mwanga-Amumpaire J, et al. Asymptomatic Plasmodium infections in children in low malaria transmission setting. Southwestern Uganda. Emerg Infect Dis. 2016;22:1494–8.
- 13. Kitamura T, Konada K, Xeuatvongsa A, Hachiya M. Factors affecting childhood immunization in Lao People's Democratic Republic: a cross-sectional study from nationwide, population-based, multistage cluster sampling. Biosci Trends. 2013;7:178–85.

- De Beaudrap P, Nabasumba C, Grandesso F, Turyakira E, Schram B, Boum Y 2nd, et al. Heterogeneous decrease in malaria prevalence in children over a six-year period in south-western Uganda. Malar J. 2011;10:132.
- 15. Kyabayinze DJ, Zongo I, Cunningham J, Gatton M, Angutoko P, Ategeka J, et al. HRP2 and pLDH-based rapid diagnostic tests, expert microscopy, and PCR for detection of malaria infection during pregnancy and at delivery in areas of varied transmission: a prospective cohort study in Burkina Faso and Uganda. PLoS ONE. 2016;11:e156954.
- Prudhomme O'Meara W, Remich S, Ogutu B, Lucas M, Mtalib R, Obare P, et al. Systematic comparison of two methods to measure parasite density from malaria blood smears. Parasitol Res. 2006;99:500–4.
- Singh B, Bobogare A, Cox-Singh J, Snounou G, Abdullah MS, Rahman HA. A genus- and species-specific nested polymerase chain reaction malaria detection assay for epidemiologic studies. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 1999;60:687–92.
- Landis JR, Koch GG. The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. Biometrics. 1977;33:159–74.
- 19. McHugh ML. Interrater reliability: the kappa statistic. Biochem Med (Zagreb). 2012;22:276–82.
- Hong TS, Bosch WR, Krishnan S, Kim TK, Mamon HJ, Shyn P, et al. Interobserver variability in target definition for hepatocellular carcinoma with and without portal vein thrombus: radiation therapy oncology group consensus guidelines. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2014;89:804–13.
- Scherr TF, Gupta S, Wright DW, Haselton FR. Mobile phone imaging and cloud-based analysis for standardized malaria detection and reporting. Sci Rep. 2016;6:28645.
- 22. Wanja EW, Kuya N, Moranga C, Hickman M, Johnson JD, Moseti C, et al. Field evaluation of diagnostic performance of malaria rapid diagnostic tests in western Kenya. Malar J. 2016;15:456.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal

() BioMed Central

- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research
 Submit your manuscript at

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

Terms and Conditions

Springer Nature journal content, brought to you courtesy of Springer Nature Customer Service Center GmbH ("Springer Nature").

Springer Nature supports a reasonable amount of sharing of research papers by authors, subscribers and authorised users ("Users"), for smallscale personal, non-commercial use provided that all copyright, trade and service marks and other proprietary notices are maintained. By accessing, sharing, receiving or otherwise using the Springer Nature journal content you agree to these terms of use ("Terms"). For these purposes, Springer Nature considers academic use (by researchers and students) to be non-commercial.

These Terms are supplementary and will apply in addition to any applicable website terms and conditions, a relevant site licence or a personal subscription. These Terms will prevail over any conflict or ambiguity with regards to the relevant terms, a site licence or a personal subscription (to the extent of the conflict or ambiguity only). For Creative Commons-licensed articles, the terms of the Creative Commons license used will apply.

We collect and use personal data to provide access to the Springer Nature journal content. We may also use these personal data internally within ResearchGate and Springer Nature and as agreed share it, in an anonymised way, for purposes of tracking, analysis and reporting. We will not otherwise disclose your personal data outside the ResearchGate or the Springer Nature group of companies unless we have your permission as detailed in the Privacy Policy.

While Users may use the Springer Nature journal content for small scale, personal non-commercial use, it is important to note that Users may not:

- 1. use such content for the purpose of providing other users with access on a regular or large scale basis or as a means to circumvent access control;
- 2. use such content where to do so would be considered a criminal or statutory offence in any jurisdiction, or gives rise to civil liability, or is otherwise unlawful;
- 3. falsely or misleadingly imply or suggest endorsement, approval, sponsorship, or association unless explicitly agreed to by Springer Nature in writing;
- 4. use bots or other automated methods to access the content or redirect messages
- 5. override any security feature or exclusionary protocol; or
- 6. share the content in order to create substitute for Springer Nature products or services or a systematic database of Springer Nature journal content.

In line with the restriction against commercial use, Springer Nature does not permit the creation of a product or service that creates revenue, royalties, rent or income from our content or its inclusion as part of a paid for service or for other commercial gain. Springer Nature journal content cannot be used for inter-library loans and librarians may not upload Springer Nature journal content on a large scale into their, or any other, institutional repository.

These terms of use are reviewed regularly and may be amended at any time. Springer Nature is not obligated to publish any information or content on this website and may remove it or features or functionality at our sole discretion, at any time with or without notice. Springer Nature may revoke this licence to you at any time and remove access to any copies of the Springer Nature journal content which have been saved.

To the fullest extent permitted by law, Springer Nature makes no warranties, representations or guarantees to Users, either express or implied with respect to the Springer nature journal content and all parties disclaim and waive any implied warranties or warranties imposed by law, including merchantability or fitness for any particular purpose.

Please note that these rights do not automatically extend to content, data or other material published by Springer Nature that may be licensed from third parties.

If you would like to use or distribute our Springer Nature journal content to a wider audience or on a regular basis or in any other manner not expressly permitted by these Terms, please contact Springer Nature at

onlineservice@springernature.com