

PROTOCOL

Open Access



A systematic review protocol of stigma among children and adolescents with epilepsy

Joseph Kirabira^{1*}, Jimmy Ben Forry¹, Alison Annet Kinengyere², Wilson Adriko¹, Abdallah Amir¹, Godfrey Z. Rukundo¹ and Dickens Akena²

Abstract

Background: Epilepsy is a neurological condition that is highly prevalent among children and adolescents with 80% of the victims living in low- and middle-income countries (LMIC). Epilepsy is associated with high levels of both perceived and enacted stigma, which vary geographically and greatly affects the victims' quality of life and self-esteem. High rates of stigma are also a significant barrier to accessing medical care. Perceived and enacted epilepsy-related stigma is associated with various sociodemographic and clinical factors, which vary from place to place. Therefore, this review will determine the prevalence of stigma of epilepsy among children and adolescents and the associated factors worldwide.

Methods: We will search for literature in PubMed, EMBASE, PsycINFO, and CINAHL databases as well as grey literature. We will also search via Google Scholar to capture relevant literature that may not be in the searched databases. We will then screen reference lists of included studies for more studies. Studies that have documented the prevalence of epilepsy-related perceived or enacted stigma and the associated factors will be eligible for inclusion. Data will be extracted in duplicates using a pre-piloted tool consisting of study and participant characteristics as well as pre-determined factors associated with epilepsy. Heterogeneity will be assessed by a forest plot and quantified by I^2 statistic, and in case it is high, results will be reported as a narrative and it will further be explored by subgroup analysis. In case of homogeneity, meta-analysis will be done. Bias will be assessed using a critical appraisal tool developed for prevalence studies. The strength of evidence among the studies will be assessed using the GRADE approach.

Discussion: Findings from this review will document the burden of stigma of epilepsy and the common contributing factors, which will form the building blocks of interventions that address this health challenge.

Systematic review registration: PROSPERO [CRD42017058957](https://www.crd42017058957)

Keywords: Stigma, Epilepsy, Children, Adolescents, Prevalence

Background

Epilepsy is a brain disorder characterized by at least two unprovoked seizures more than 24 h apart or one unprovoked seizure when the risk for another is known to be high (> 60%): reflex seizures and seizures that are part of an epilepsy syndrome [1]. It has a predominant childhood onset, hence being highly prevalent among children and

adolescents [2–5]. Unlike other neurological disorders, epilepsy is associated with high levels of stigma, which stretches as far back as the 1800s when people with epilepsy used to be kept in prisons, lunatic asylums, leprosy colonies, and special institutions because people considered it a disgracing illness [6]. The epilepsy-related stigma can take various forms; however, enacted and perceived stigmas are more debilitating [7–9]. Perceived stigma focuses on the individual's experience of being unfairly treated because of having an undesirable and deeply discrediting attribute [10] (in this case epilepsy) whereas enacted stigma deals with the expressed attitudes of others

* Correspondence: kirabirajoseph64@gmail.com; 2015mmed050@stud.must.ac.ug

¹Mbarara University of Science and Technology (MUST), P.O. Box 1410, Mbarara, Uganda

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2019 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

towards the individual with epilepsy [11]. The presence of stigma among children and adolescents has been associated with low self-esteem, poor quality of life, retardation in neurocognitive development, poor academic progress [12–14], poor health-seeking behaviors, and increased rates of mortality (mainly through suicide) [15, 16].

A study conducted among 15 European countries found the prevalence of epilepsy-related stigma in high-income countries (HIC) to be 51% with 18% of participants reporting high stigmatization [17]. However, several studies in low- and middle-income countries (LMIC) have found epilepsy perceived stigma level ranging from 31 to 69% [18–21]. The variations among these findings may be due to higher poverty levels, different social roles expectation, limited access to medical care, and negative tradition beliefs about epilepsy in LMICs compared to HICs [7]. A number of factors have been found to influence stigma of epilepsy among children and adults including duration of illness, seizure frequency [19], severity and type of illness [22], gender and age of child [13], antiepileptic medication side effects, lower self-efficacy for seizure management, and having epilepsy-related injuries [7, 22]. These factors have been found to vary from one place to another in various studies [23]. This may be due to the different methodological approaches used; sociocultural differences and economic differences in the different geographical locations hence need to harmonize these findings. Additionally, the community's negative explanatory models of epilepsy (misconceptions) including the belief that epilepsy is untreatable [6], contagious [24], or caused by supernatural powers [7] have been associated with high levels of stigma in persons (children and adults) with epilepsy.

Therefore, this review seeks to document the summative prevalence of enacted and perceived stigma of epilepsy among children and adolescents with epilepsy worldwide and the associated factors. The review will help to inform clinicians about the burden of epilepsy-related stigma in different settings, hence highlighting the need to formulate interventions against the most common influencing factors. These interventions thus can be integrated into the routine care for epilepsy patients so as to improve their management and outcomes.

Aims

The following are the aims of this study:

1. To determine the prevalence of enacted and perceived stigma of epilepsy among children and adolescents with epilepsy
2. To determine the sociodemographic, psychological, and clinical factors associated with enacted and

perceived stigma among children and adolescents with epilepsy

Methods/design

Study design

This is a systematic review aiming at determining the prevalence of enacted and perceived stigma of epilepsy and associated factors among children and adolescents globally.

Review questions

The following are the review questions:

1. What is the prevalence of enacted and perceived stigma among children and adolescents with epilepsy globally?
2. What factors are associated with enacted and perceived stigma among children and adolescents with epilepsy?

Search strategy

We will conduct electronic searches in PubMed (NLM), EMBASE (Elsevier), PsycINFO (APA), and CINAHL (EBSCOhost) databases from the time of inception up to the time of the date of the last search for the systematic review. We shall search for grey literature from other sources such as students' theses. We shall also search for further relevant studies via Google Scholar (Google). We shall search for literature by title, abstract, and keywords.

The searches will be done under the following categories (see search strings):

1. Prevalence
2. Epilepsy, convulsions, seizures, and fits
3. Stigma
4. Children and adolescents

We shall search for all studies conducted globally that address the research objectives as shown below:

Terms describing stigma—S1: stigma or prevalence or prevalen* or incidence or inciden* or burden or epidemiology or stereotyping or stereotyp* or social perception or prejudice or label or misconception or discrimination or discriminat* or ignorance or ignoran*

Terms describing child—S2: child or children or adolescent or teen or teenage or youth or child* or adolescen*

Terms describing epilepsy—S3: Epilepsy: epilepsy or epileptic seizures or status epilepticus or convulsion or epilep* or convul*

S4: S1 AND S2 AND S3.

The search process will be presented in a PRISMA flow chart to indicate how many hits were retrieved and inclusion/exclusion justification.

Study and data management

Studies to be considered for inclusion in this review will be first screened by title and abstract and then by full text at a second screening stage. This will be done by JBF who is a psychiatrist and WA an information scientist supported by AAK, a senior information scientist. The resultant articles will be downloaded and imported into EndNote for screening. Two independent blinded reviewers will extract data about pre-specified variables, that is, AA, an internist with special interest in neurology, and GZR, a senior consultant child and adolescent psychiatrist. In case of any contradiction, it will be resolved by involving a third reviewer (preferably the principal investigator). The data will be extracted using an electronic questionnaire on tablets which will be submitted to an online central server from where it will be exported in an Excel sheet. It will then be availed to the reviewers for analysis.

Study selection

We shall include observational studies from all parts of the world that report prevalence of either epilepsy-related perceived stigma and/or enacted stigma among children and adolescents aged 2 to 19 years. We shall consider those studies that reported the type of tool or scale they used to measure stigma. The reported tool should be appropriate for the stigma type measured and for the study population. We shall also consider all studies worldwide that reported factors associated with perceived or enacted epilepsy-related stigma among children and adolescents. All relevant articles in all languages from the inception of the databases to the time of the review will be eligible for inclusion in this review.

Data extraction

Data will be extracted from full text of all screened eligible articles using a pre-piloted extraction form. This will be designed by the investigator and will consist of a baseline study and participant characteristics. This will include sample size, study setting, population duration and aims, year of conducting the study, geographical location (study site), average age, sex distribution and race of participants, type of stigma assessed, stigma scale used, outcomes (mainly prevalence of stigma), and statistical methods used. The form will also include pre-specified factors associated with stigma: gender and age of child, socioeconomic status, duration of illness, seizure frequency, severity and type, side effects of antiepileptic medications, lower self-efficacy for seizure management and having epilepsy-related injuries, antiepileptic drug use, and having

misconceptions about cause and contagiousness of epilepsy. All the data will be collected in duplicates (by two independent investigators) to minimize errors [25]. For the data that may be missing from any study, the respective corresponding authors will be contacted accordingly.

Data synthesis

Analysis will involve both descriptive and quantitative approaches.

A summary narrative will be provided about the studies included in the analysis indicating their characteristics and participant characteristics. A summary table of all included studies will be generated. Heterogeneity will be assessed by visual inspection of the forest plot [26] and chi-squared test [27] and then quantified using the I^2 statistic [28] prior to pooling of studies as per the Cochrane Consumers and Communication Group protocols [29]. In the event that we find statistically significant heterogeneity, then we will report our results as a narrative. Heterogeneity will be further explored by subgroup analysis. The variables for subgroup analysis will include age and residence (study sites) since the prevalence of epilepsy-related stigma is likely to be higher among older children and adolescents from developing countries. In case of homogeneity, then meta-analysis will be done.

Assessment of bias and quality of evidence

All the studies will be assessed for bias using Munn et al.'s prevalence study critical appraisal tool [30]. This is a 10-item tool with a 4-point Likert score with answers being "no, yes, unclear, or not applicable." It is easy to use and highly acceptable with face validity. It assesses the appropriateness of the sample and sample size, recruitment procedure, reliability and objectivity of measurements and statistical analysis, and among other parameters. Studies involving assessment of the prevalence of stigma will be assessed for the appropriateness of the stigma tools used. All studies will be checked to ascertain whether they were ethically approved prior to being conducted. The strength of the body of evidence will be assessed using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluations (GRADE) approach [31].

Dissemination plan

A report about the findings will be made available to the Mbarara University of Science and Technology library. A manuscript will also be submitted for review and publication in leading journals of epilepsy or mental health for public access. Findings relevant to clinical practice will be availed to the public, clinicians, health administrators, and national and international health bodies through publication in a peer review journal.

Discussion

This review will document the prevalence of epilepsy-related stigma and the associated factors among children and adolescents worldwide. It will also determine the different places affected most by this public health challenge and highlight the main factors that need to be addressed to reduce this health challenge. The findings will help in formulating interventions that can be integrated into the routine epilepsy care in order to improve the management and outcomes of epilepsy patients. Since children and adolescents have a longer life span and are the most vulnerable to epilepsy and its social consequences, understanding and addressing this stigma can improve their functionality and productivity in their communities.

Abbreviations

HIC: High-income counties; MURTI: Mbarara University Research Training Initiative; MUST: Mbarara University of Science and Technology

Acknowledgements

Special appreciation goes to the MURTI administration for organizing and sponsoring the various trainings about systematic reviews that have enabled this writing to go on smoothly.

Funding

No funds received

Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Authors' contributions

JK contributed significantly towards the study design, manuscript writing, development of the search strategy, and selection of various study tools. JBF, WA, and AA contributed towards the designing of the study and initial manuscript writing. They also contributed towards addressing the reviewer's comments. AAK contributed towards the development of the search strategy, selection of databases to search, and writing of the search strategy section of the manuscript. GZR and DA were the main supervisors and mentors of this systematic review protocol writing. They significantly contributed towards the study design, drafting of the initial manuscript, and selection of the appropriate methods and tools. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The review will include only those studies that obtained ethical approvals from the relevant authorities and these bodies will be reported in the review.

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Mbarara University of Science and Technology (MUST), P.O. Box 1410, Mbarara, Uganda. ²Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda.

Received: 6 December 2017 Accepted: 3 January 2019

Published online: 12 January 2019

References

- Fisher RS, et al. ILAE official report: a practical clinical definition of epilepsy. *Epilepsia*. 2014;55:475–82.
- Ullah S, Ali N, Khan A, Nabi M. The prevalence, incidence and etiology of epilepsy. *Int. J. Clin. Exp. Neurol*. 2014;2:29–39.
- Duggan MB. Epilepsy and its effects on children and families in rural Uganda. *Afr Health Sci*. 2013;13:613–23.
- Kariuki SM, et al. Clinical features, proximate causes, and consequences of active convulsive epilepsy in Africa. *Epilepsia*. 2014;55:76–85.
- Russ SA, Larson K, Halfon N. A national profile of childhood epilepsy and seizure disorder. *Pediatrics*. 2012;129:256–64.
- De Boer HM. Epilepsy stigma: moving from a global problem to global solutions. *Seizure*. 2010;19:630–6.
- Baskind R, Birbeck GL. Epilepsy-associated stigma in sub-Saharan Africa: the social landscape of a disease. *Epilepsy Behav*. 2005;7:68–73.
- Weiss MG, Ramakrishna J. Stigma interventions and research for international health. *Lancet*. 2006;367:536–8.
- Mushi D, et al. Perceptions, social life, treatment and education gap of Tanzanian children with epilepsy: a community-based study. *Epilepsy Behav*. 2012;23:224–9.
- Erving G. *Stigma; notes on the management of spoiled identity*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall; 1963.
- Jacoby A. Stigma and epilepsy. *Epilepsy Behav*. 2002;3:1–5.
- Mula M, Sander JW. Psychosocial aspects of epilepsy: a wider approach. *Br J Psychiatry Open*. 2016;2:270–4.
- Austin JK, Perkins SM, Dunn DW. A model for internalized stigma in children and adolescents with epilepsy. *Epilepsy Behav*. 2014;36:74–9.
- Baca CB, Vickrey BG, Hays RD, Vassar SD, Berg AT. Differences in child versus parent reports of the child's health-related quality of life in children with epilepsy and healthy siblings. *Value Heal*. 2010;13:778–86.
- Price P, Kobau R, Buelow J, Austin J, Lowenberg K. Improving understanding, promoting social inclusion, and fostering empowerment related to epilepsy: Epilepsy Foundation public awareness campaigns - 2001 through 2013. *Epilepsy Behav*. 2015;44:239–44.
- Wagner RG, et al. Health care utilization and outpatient, out-of-pocket costs for active convulsive epilepsy in rural northeastern South Africa: a cross-sectional survey. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2016;16:208.
- Baker GA, Brooks J, Buck D, Jacoby A. The stigma of epilepsy: a European perspective. *Epilepsia*. 2000;41:98–104.
- Atadzhanov M, Haworth A, Chomba EN, Mbewe EK, Birbeck GL. Epilepsy-associated stigma in Zambia: what factors predict greater felt stigma in a highly stigmatized population? *Epilepsy Behav*. 2010;19:414–8.
- Biftu BB, Dachew BA, Tiruneh BT. Perceived stigma and associated factors among people with epilepsy at Gondar University Hospital, Northwest Ethiopia: a cross-sectional institution based study. *Afr Health Sci*. 2015;15:1211–19.
- Fanta T, Azale T, Assefa D, Getachew M. Prevalence and factors associated with perceived stigma among patients with epilepsy in Ethiopia. *Psychiatry J*. 2015;2015:1–7.
- Rafael F, et al. Sociocultural and psychological features of perceived stigma reported by people with epilepsy in Benin. *Epilepsia*. 2010;51:1061–8.
- Elafros MA, et al. Stigma and psychiatric morbidity among mothers of children with epilepsy in Zambia. *Int Health*. 2013;5:288–94.
- Rood JE, Schultz JR, Rausch JR, Modi AC. Examining perceived stigma of children with newly-diagnosed epilepsy and their caregivers over a two-year period. *Epilepsy Behav*. 2014;39:38–41.
- Guo W, et al. The stigma of people with epilepsy is demonstrated at the internalized, interpersonal and institutional levels in a specific sociocultural context: findings from an ethnographic study in rural China. *Epilepsy Behav*. 2012;25:282–8.
- Buscemi N, Hartling L, Vandermeer B, Tjosvold L, Klassen TP. Single data extraction generated more errors than double data extraction in systematic reviews. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2006;59:697–703.
- Ried K. Interpreting and understanding meta-analysis graphs—a practical guide. *Aust Fam Physician*. 2006;35:635–8.
- Huedo-Medina TB, Sánchez-Meca J, Marín-Martínez F, Botella J. Assessing heterogeneity in meta-analysis: Q statistic or I² index? *Psychol Methods*. 2006;11:193–206.

28. Higgins JPT, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. *Stat Med*. 2002;21:1539–58.
29. Ryan R. Heterogeneity and subgroup analyses in Cochrane Consumers and Communication Group reviews : planning the analysis at protocol stage. *Cochrane Consumers and Communication Review Group*. 2016;2016:2–9.
30. Munn Z, Moola S, Riitano D, Lisy K. The development of a critical appraisal tool for use in systematic reviews addressing questions of prevalence. *Int J Heal Policy Manag*. 2014;3:289–90.
31. Schünemann HJ, Oxman AD, Vist GE, Higgins JPT, Deeks JJ, Glasziou P, Guyatt GH. Chapter 12: Interpreting results and drawing conclusions. In: Higgins JPT, Green S (editors), *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions*. Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011. Available from www.cochrane-handbook.org.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions



Terms and Conditions

Springer Nature journal content, brought to you courtesy of Springer Nature Customer Service Center GmbH (“Springer Nature”).

Springer Nature supports a reasonable amount of sharing of research papers by authors, subscribers and authorised users (“Users”), for small-scale personal, non-commercial use provided that all copyright, trade and service marks and other proprietary notices are maintained. By accessing, sharing, receiving or otherwise using the Springer Nature journal content you agree to these terms of use (“Terms”). For these purposes, Springer Nature considers academic use (by researchers and students) to be non-commercial.

These Terms are supplementary and will apply in addition to any applicable website terms and conditions, a relevant site licence or a personal subscription. These Terms will prevail over any conflict or ambiguity with regards to the relevant terms, a site licence or a personal subscription (to the extent of the conflict or ambiguity only). For Creative Commons-licensed articles, the terms of the Creative Commons license used will apply.

We collect and use personal data to provide access to the Springer Nature journal content. We may also use these personal data internally within ResearchGate and Springer Nature and as agreed share it, in an anonymised way, for purposes of tracking, analysis and reporting. We will not otherwise disclose your personal data outside the ResearchGate or the Springer Nature group of companies unless we have your permission as detailed in the Privacy Policy.

While Users may use the Springer Nature journal content for small scale, personal non-commercial use, it is important to note that Users may not:

1. use such content for the purpose of providing other users with access on a regular or large scale basis or as a means to circumvent access control;
2. use such content where to do so would be considered a criminal or statutory offence in any jurisdiction, or gives rise to civil liability, or is otherwise unlawful;
3. falsely or misleadingly imply or suggest endorsement, approval, sponsorship, or association unless explicitly agreed to by Springer Nature in writing;
4. use bots or other automated methods to access the content or redirect messages
5. override any security feature or exclusionary protocol; or
6. share the content in order to create substitute for Springer Nature products or services or a systematic database of Springer Nature journal content.

In line with the restriction against commercial use, Springer Nature does not permit the creation of a product or service that creates revenue, royalties, rent or income from our content or its inclusion as part of a paid for service or for other commercial gain. Springer Nature journal content cannot be used for inter-library loans and librarians may not upload Springer Nature journal content on a large scale into their, or any other, institutional repository.

These terms of use are reviewed regularly and may be amended at any time. Springer Nature is not obligated to publish any information or content on this website and may remove it or features or functionality at our sole discretion, at any time with or without notice. Springer Nature may revoke this licence to you at any time and remove access to any copies of the Springer Nature journal content which have been saved.

To the fullest extent permitted by law, Springer Nature makes no warranties, representations or guarantees to Users, either express or implied with respect to the Springer nature journal content and all parties disclaim and waive any implied warranties or warranties imposed by law, including merchantability or fitness for any particular purpose.

Please note that these rights do not automatically extend to content, data or other material published by Springer Nature that may be licensed from third parties.

If you would like to use or distribute our Springer Nature journal content to a wider audience or on a regular basis or in any other manner not expressly permitted by these Terms, please contact Springer Nature at

onlineservice@springernature.com