

# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript *AIDS Behav.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2023 June 01.

Published in final edited form as:

AIDS Behav. 2022 June ; 26(6): 1892–1904. doi:10.1007/s10461-021-03540-1.

# Perceptions about local ART adherence norms and personal adherence behavior among adults living with HIV in rural Uganda

Jessica M. Perkins<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Bernard Kakuhikire<sup>3</sup>, Charles Baguma<sup>3</sup>, Justin D. Rasmussen<sup>4</sup>, Emily N. Satinsky<sup>5</sup>, Allen Kiconco<sup>3</sup>, Justus Kananura<sup>3</sup>, Carolyn M. Audet<sup>2,6</sup>, Mark J. Siedner<sup>5,7,8,9</sup>, Jessica E. Haberer<sup>5,8</sup>, David R. Bangsberg<sup>3,10</sup>, Alexander C. Tsai<sup>3,5,8,9</sup> <sup>1</sup>Peabody College, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, USA

<sup>2</sup>Vanderbilt Institute of Global Health, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, USA

<sup>3</sup>Mbarara University of Science and Technology, Mbarara, Uganda

<sup>4</sup>Duke University, Durham NC, USA

<sup>5</sup>Center for Global Health, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston MA USA

<sup>6</sup>University of Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

<sup>7</sup>Division of Infectious Diseases and Medical Practice Evaluation Center, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

<sup>8</sup>Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

<sup>9</sup>Mongan Institute, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston MA USA

<sup>10</sup>Oregon Health & Science University-Portland State University School of Public Health, Portland, OR, USA

# Abstract

Although misperceived norms often drive personal health behaviors, we do not know about this phenomenon in the context of antiretroviral therapy (ART) adherence. We conducted a cross-sectional study including all persons living with HIV (PLWH) on ART across eight villages

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: PMB 90, 230 Appleton Place, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37203-5721 USA; jessica.m.perkins@vanderbilt.edu; Phone: (615) 875-3289.

Author contributions: JMP conceived of and designed the study. JMP, BK, JDR, AK and JK participated in the data collection. JMP wrote the first draft. JMP, BK, CB, JDR, ENS, AK, JK, CMA, MJS, JEH, DRB, and ACT participated in interpretation of the data and provided critical revisions. ACT provided study oversight. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflicts of interest/Competing interests: The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

**Ethical approval**: Approval was obtained from the ethics committees of Massachusetts General Hospital, Mbarara University of Science and Technology, and Vanderbilt Human Research Protections. The study also received clearance from the Uganda National Council of Science and Technology and the Research Secretariat in the Office of the President of the Republic of Uganda. The procedures used in this study adhere to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

**Consent to participate**: Written informed consent or a thumbprint indicating consent to participate was obtained from each participant.

**Consent to publish:** Written informed consent or a thumbprint indicating consent to have related data published was obtained from each participant.

Availability of data and code: Data and code available upon request.

in one parish in a rural region of southwestern Uganda. We used surveys to measure personal reports of ART adherence (not missing any doses of ART in the past 7 days was considered optimal adherence whereas missing doses was considered sub-optimal adherence) and perceived norms about the local ART adherence norm (whether or not each individual thought 'most other PLWH on ART in this parish' missed any doses in the past 7 days). Multivariable Poisson regression models were used to estimate the association between perceived norms and personal adherence. Among 159 PLWH on ART (95% response rate), 142 (89%) reported no missed doses. However, 119 (75%) thought most individuals in this population of PLWH on ART were sub-optimally adherent. This misperception about the local ART adherence norm was prevalent in every subgroup of PLWH. Misperceiving the local ART adherence norm to be sub-optimal adherence was associated with a reduced likelihood of optimal adherence among married PLWH (adjusted relative risk [aRR] = 0.83, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.71–0.97). The association was similar but imprecisely estimated for all PLWH (aRR = 0.91, 95% CI 0.82–1.01). Interventions to correct misperceived ART adherence norms as a stand-alone intervention or as a complement to other adherence promotion programs may influence ART adherence behavior and perhaps reduce HIV-related stigma.

## Resumen

Aunque las normas mal percibidas impulsan los comportamientos personales de salud, no sabemos acerca de este fenómeno en el contexto de la terapia antirretroviral (TAR). Este estudio transversal incluyó a todas las personas que viven con el VIH (PVVS) y con TAR en ocho pueblos de una parroquia en una región rural del suroeste de Uganda. Utilizamos encuestas para medir los informes de adherencia personal al TAR (no faltar ninguna dosis de TAR en los últimos 7 días se consideró como acción óptima; mientras que faltar las dosis se consideraron como acción subóptima) y las normas percibidas sobre la norma local de adherencia al TAR (si cada individuo pensó o no que 'la mayoría de las otras PVVS en esta parroquia omitieron alguna dosis en los últimos 7 días). Usamos modelos multivariables de regresión de Poisson para estimar la asociación entre las normas percibidas y la adherencia personal. De las 159 PVVS con TAR (tasa de respuesta del 95%), 142 (89%) reportaron que no faltaron ningua dosis. Sin embargo, 119 (75%) pensaron que la mayoría de los individuos en esta población de PVVS con TAR eran suboptimalmente adherentes. Esta percepción incorrecta sobre la norma local de adherencia al TAR fue prevalente en todos los subgrupos de PVVS. La percepción incorrecta de que la norma local de adherencia al TAR era subóptima se asoció con una menor probabilidad de adherencia óptima entre las PVVS casadas (riesgo relativo ajustado [aRR] = 0.83; intervalo de confianza [IC] del 95% 0.71-0.97). La asociación fue similar pero imprecisamente se estima para todas las PVVS (aRR = 0.91; IC 95% 0,82-1,01). Las intervenciones para corregir las normas mal percibidas de TAR, como una intervención independiente o como un complemento de otros programas de promoción de la adherencia, pueden influir en el comportamiento de la adherencia al TAR y tal vez reducir el estigma relacionado con el VIH.

#### Keywords

Antiretroviral therapy; Descriptive norms; Social networks; Social norms; Stigma

# INTRODUCTION

For persons living with HIV (PLWH), high and consistent levels of adherence to HIV antiretroviral therapy (ART) are important for maintaining HIV-1 RNA viral suppression (1), increasing life expectancy (2, 3), and reducing HIV transmission risk (4). In Uganda, viral suppression rates remain below "95-95-95" targets (5, 6). Systematic reviews have found sex, current substance use, depressive symptoms, social support, HIV-related stigma, trust/satisfaction with health care workers and HIV care providers, structural access barriers, and other factors to correlate with ART adherence (7, 8). However, no studies have assessed perceived norms about ART adherence as a driver of personal ART adherence among adults in sub-Saharan Africa. Changing perceptions about local ART adherence.

#### **Conceptual Framework**

Empirical studies of social influence and social conformity have clearly demonstrated that others' attitudes and behavior can affect one's own attitudes and behavior (9-12). Additionally, individuals think and behave in ways that match what they believe to be social norms, that is, the typical attitudes and behavior among most others, especially in contexts of uncertainty (13-16). Based on empirical evidence, the Focus Theory of Normative Conduct differentiated between perceptions about what most others think ought to be done (i.e., injunctive norms) and perceptions about what most others do (i.e., descriptive norms) as separate constructs in driving individual behavior (13). At the same time, Social Norms Theory distinguished what an individual thinks are the typical or most common behaviors and attitudes (i.e., perceived norms) from the behaviors and attitudes that are actually the most common behaviors and attitudes (i.e., actual norms) among individuals within a specific social referent group (14).

This distinction between perceived and actual norms also arose from empirical research (primarily of substance use in high-resource settings). Studies found that individuals often believed harmful behaviors and attitudes were more common (among peers in their social reference groups) than they actually were and they believed protective behaviors and attitudes were rarer (among peers in their social reference groups) than they actually were (17). Moreover, misperceptions existed in all settings even though settings differed in the strength of their actual norms (i.e., varying prevalence of behavior and attitude). Follow-up studies also then found that these misperceptions mattered as individuals' norm perceptions often predicted individuals' own behaviors (17, 18). Moreover, even after adjusting for actual norms of behavior within that same social referent group, perceived norms were still strongly associated with personal behavior (19).

The social norms approach to behavior change builds on these theories and empirical studies by focusing on changing misperceived norms (14, 19-26). This class of intervention emphasizes conveyance of information about existing health-promoting norms to people who may misperceive or be unaware of these norms. The goals are to nudge uptake of positive or health-promoting behavior and attitudes among some individuals, support ongoing positive behaviors and attitudes among others, and reduce the extent to which norms are misperceived. Additionally, increased (accurate) awareness of local norms creates

opportunity for more conversation about, support for, and/or tolerance of desired health behaviors and attitudes within the general population, which may in turn cause further uptake of desired behaviors and reduction of risk behaviors and/or attitudes.

Specifically, individuals may take up the desired behavior after experiencing cognitive dissonance with their own prior behavior upon learning the true local norms. Others may be supported to take up the desired behavior (or may be prevented from engaging in risk behavior) by individuals who learn true local norms. Additional individuals may be less likely to discontinue engaging in a health-promoting behavior that is the norm based on both of these reasons. Thus, with this class of intervention, multiple intrapersonal and interpersonal processes related to changes in perceived norms among different groups of individuals may drive changes in personal behavior (14, 24, 27). Experimental studies have found that interventions communicating what most people within a salient referent group actually do have changed individuals' perceptions about which behaviors and attitudes are most common, which, in turn, has led to changes in personal behavior and overall population rates of behavior (19, 28-32).

#### Perceived Norms and HIV Prevention in Sub-Saharan Africa

Emerging literature from sub-Saharan Africa suggests behavioral (or attitudinal) norms may be widely misperceived and that these misperceptions may similarly influence personal behaviors. Several studies have found that adults tend to overestimate the prevalence of health risk behaviors among peers in their social reference groups and underestimate the prevalence of health promotion behaviors, in relation to HIV testing, HIV stigma, condom use, sexual partner concurrency, substance use, mosquito net use, and parental discipline (33-44). These studies also indicate that the behaviors individuals perceive to be typical or normative among peers are associated with their own engagement in the same behavior. Several field experiments regarding other topics in sub-Saharan Africa find associations between changes in perceived norms and changes in individual attitudes and behaviors (45-49).

Studies based on objective measures of ART adherence often show that most PLWH in care achieve high adherence (though overall adherence rates are still below target) (50, 51). Many PLWH may be unaware that other PLWH achieve such high adherence rates, however. Salient information available in the local context (i.e., verbal and non-verbal cues) influences the formation of perceived norms (52). Cues suggesting that high adherence is normative among PLWH peers may not be widely disseminated in local environments for several reasons. First, HIV-related stigma often prevents discussion about HIV serostatus, prevention behavior, and ART regimens with partners and social ties (53-57). Second, conditional on there being any "everyday discussion" about ART, then PLWH may be more likely to discuss challenges related to taking ART and missing doses rather than everyday (adherent) dose-taking behavior. Both factors will tend to obscure everyday conversation about high adherence behavior being the norm, leading to the possibility that non-adherence will be incorrectly perceived as typical or normative behavior and therefore inferred as the norm (27, 58, 59). Third, the media often highlight and sensationalize incidence.

These exaggerations provide cues suggesting that many people are not engaging in health promoting behavior even if in reality most people are engaging in health promoting behavior. These cues could contribute to incorrect norm perceptions (60-65).

No studies exist on the extent of misperceived ART adherence norms in sub-Saharan Africa. However, one qualitative study among youth in Uganda concluded that providing peer adherence levels in text message reminders to youth on ART could help motivate personal adherence (66). Similarly, a randomized control trial in Tanzania found that an intervention including a visual image depicting the level of clinic attendance and ART adherence among PLWH at that clinic was associated with individual attendance and adherence (67). Additionally, a study of PLWH in the U.S. found that perceived ART adherence norms among friends and family modified the association between HIV-related stigma and personal ART adherence (68). If PLWH on ART do not know local adherence rates or mistakenly believe missing ART doses is common in their local PLWH community, then there would be an opportunity for a social norms approach to improve adherence in that community.

#### The Current Study

For this secondary data analysis, we obtained data on all adult PLWH living in eight villages in Rwampara District of southwestern Uganda; these data were taken from a larger parent study that enrolled all adult residents in the area (regardless of HIV status). The present study addresses the gap in knowledge about misperceived norms as drivers of ART adherence in two ways. First, we assess the level of self-reported ART adherence in a population-based sample of PLWH on ART in a rural, HIV-endemic setting in sub-Saharan Africa where most PLWH are on ART. We then compare the aggregated level of individuals' self-reported ART adherence to their perception about the 'local ART adherence norm' (i.e., adherence level among most other PLWH on ART who live in the area). Second, we estimate the association between individuals' perception about the local ART adherence norm and personal ART adherence.

#### METHODS

#### **Study Setting**

To select a site for the parent study, study investigators engaged with local officials and collaborators in an iterative process to identify a rural parish containing multiple villages that would be amenable to ongoing research and intervention activities. Study investigators subsequently chose the targeted parish due to its tractable population size and geographic terrain, the local leaders' welcoming of the study team, the relatively little presence of non-governmental organizations, and the similarity to rural contexts across Uganda and sub-Saharan Africa in general. The parish contains eight villages and is about 260 km southwest of Kampala, the largest city in Uganda, and about 20 km from its closest commercial hub, Mbarara Town which had an estimated population of 195,013 in 2014 (69). Most residents in Uganda (and many PLWH elsewhere in sub-Saharan Africa) live in outlying rural areas like this study context where the local economy features small village communities and agricultural and small-scale trading and enterprise. Household food and water insecurity are common, and access to electricity is rare (70-72). Prior to starting study activities, the study

Page 6

team held several community sensitization meetings in collaboration with local leaders to inform parish residents about the study and elicit feedback about the study design (73). The parent study included a census enumerating all parish residents aged 18 years and older.

#### **Study Population**

All persons aged 18 years and older who permanently resided within the parish during the period of data collection were eligible for participation in the parent study (53). The parent study was designed to measure the prevalence of health-related outcomes, typical local norms for a variety of behaviors and attitudes, and existing social connections between all adults within the parish. During the data collection period, the parish contained a total of 1795 permanent resident adults who were eligible for study participation. The present study on ART adherence of PLWH focuses on the data from participants in the parent study who reported themselves to be HIV-positive.

#### **Data Collection Procedure**

Using the census enumeration, trained research assistants who spoke the local language (Runyankole) attempted to recruit all eligible adult residents into the parent study by traveling to their homes or places of employment to make contact. Multiple attempts were made over the course of the data collection period to contact residents who were not easily available. To invite a resident into the study, a research assistant typically approached a resident one-on-one and explained that they were eligible to participate in a study about health and wellbeing. Research assistants then obtained written informed consent or a thumb print and a witness signature from all residents who opted to participate. A research assistant then collected data from a consenting participant using a computer assisted, survey-based interview tool. The process lasted 45-90 min and was typically completed in one sitting. All data used for the secondary data analyses presented here were collected in 2016-2018 through one interview with each participant.

The interview involved typical survey interview questions that had been written in English, translated into Runyankole, and then back-translated to English. Question piloting, translation, and testing followed an iterative process to ensure high fidelity. Additionally, the interview involved recording social network connections between adults in the parish because the parent study was also designed as a sociocentric (i.e., whole-population) network study (53, 74, 75). Specifically, five questions (i.e., 'name generators' (76)) elicited participants' nominations of people with whom the participant spent leisure time, exchanged emotional support, discussed financial matters, discussed health matters, and exchanged food. These questions had also gone through an iterative pilot testing and translation process. Participants were instructed to name up to six adults who were permanent residents of the parish in response to each name generator. An additional question asked married/ cohabiting participants to name their spouse. This study design permitted the linkage of data between participants if the nominated persons also participated in the study. Nominations in response to each name generator question were confirmed using photographs. The combination of nominated persons across the name generator questions then represented the set of direct network ties to a participant (77). All nominees within a participant's set of

#### Measures

**Personal ART Adherence.**—Participants who indicated that they were HIV-positive also reported whether they were taking ART, and, if so, then the number of doses of ART they had missed in the past 7 days. Due to skewness, participant responses were classified into two categories: no missed doses (i.e., optimal adherence) versus 1 or more missed doses (i.e., sub-optimal adherence). This binary indicator was our primary outcome.

**Perceptions about Most Others' ART Adherence.**—Prior studies have elicited perceptions about a behavioral norm (i.e., the behavior exhibited by the majority of individuals within a defined social referent group) by adapting questions eliciting personal reports of behavior (33, 38, 78-80). Following this precedent, we therefore asked each participant on ART how many doses of ART most *other* PLWH on ART in their parish had missed in the past 7 days. Response options included "none", "a few", "most", "all doses", or "don't know". Participants who thought that most others did not miss any doses were classified as perceiving optimal adherence to be the local ART adherence norm. Participants with any other response were classified as perceiving sub-optimal adherence to be the local ART adherence norm.

We used "other PLWH on ART in this parish" as the social referent group (81) for the purposes of eliciting perceived norms about ART adherence for two reasons. First, pilot testing suggested that this description was an easily understood population subgroup with whom participants identified in this context. Additionally, choosing the social referent group to be the same as the targeted participant group meant that we could directly compare the aggregated rate of ART adherence behavior in this group to participants' perceptions about the local ART adherence level for this same group. This comparison would allow us to assess the extent to which the local ART adherence norm was misperceived or accurately perceived. This comparison technique to identify norm misperceptions has been used in this context and elsewhere in studies of social norms (33, 38).

Additional Factors.—We also collected data about age, formal schooling, having symptoms of probable depression (measured using the Hopkins Symptom Checklist) (82), consuming alcohol 2+ times per week, time since HIV-positive diagnosis, marital status, participant-reported spousal HIV serostatus, and participant-reported spousal knowledge of the participant's HIV status. Finally, we created a trichotomous variable to represent a participant's connectedness to other PLWH on ART in their personal network. To do so, we used alters' self-reports about their personal HIV-status and ART adherence. Participants' personal networks were categorized into one of three mutually exclusive groups: (a) the network included no HIV-positive alters; (b) the network included 1 or more HIV-positive alters; or (c) the network included 1 or more HIV-positive alters, *all* of whom reported optimal ART adherence. We created this variable because not accounting for exposure to alter behavior in

the analyses might have biased the relationship between perception and behavior away from the null.

#### Analysis

We assessed the distribution of perceptions about the local ART adherence norm in the entire sample of PLWH on ART and across subgroups of PLWH on ART. To estimate the association between personal adherence behavior and perceptions of the local ART adherence norm, we first fitted a modified Poisson regression model with robust estimates of variance. We specified personal optimal adherence (vs. sub-optimal adherence) as the outcome and one's perception about the local ART adherence norm as the primary explanatory variable, adjusting for other factors. With a binary dependent variable, the modified Poisson regression model has been shown to yield estimated incidence rate ratios that can be interpreted straightforwardly as relative risk ratios (83). We then fit another multivariable model with only married PLWH because the couple dyad represents a critical source of ART adherence support in sub-Saharan Africa (84, 85). This second model also adjusted for participant-reported spousal serostatus.

#### **Ethical Considerations**

Ethical approval for the parent study was granted by the Partners Human Research Committee at Massachusetts General Hospital and the Research Ethics Committee at the Mbarara University of Science and Technology. Clearance for the parent study was also obtained from the Uganda National Council of Science and Technology and the Research Secretariat in the Office of the President. Vanderbilt Human Research Protections Program approved secondary data analyses for the current study.

# RESULTS

Among 1626 adults who participated in the parent study (representing a 91% response rate from all resident adults in the parish), 167 (9.3%) reported themselves to be HIV-positive. Among these PLWH, 159 (95%) reported being on ART. Most PLWH on ART were women (103 [65%]), most (95 [60%]) were married, and most (116 [73%]) were diagnosed with HIV more than five years prior to data collection. Additionally, most (121 [76%]) PLWH on ART nominated at least one alter who reported themselves as HIV-positive. Among 95 married PLWH on ART across 70 distinct households, 90 (95%) individuals reported that their spouse knew about their positive HIV serostatus and 72 (76%) reported that their spouse was also HIV positive.

In terms of personal ART adherence, 142 of 159 (89%) PLWH on ART reported not missing any doses in the past 7 days. Moreover, the majority of PLWH on ART in every subgroup reported not missing any doses in the past 7 days (Table 1). Thus, optimal adherence was reported by most PLWH on ART in this parish.

In terms of perceptions of ART adherence among others, 40 of these same 159 PLWH on ART (25%) thought that most PLWH on ART in this population had not missed any doses in the past 7 days. However, 65 (41%) thought most had missed a few doses in the past 7 days, 14 (9%) thought most had missed most doses, and 2 (1%) thought most had missed all

doses. Thirty-eight (24%) reported not knowing how many doses most PLWH on ART had missed in the past 7 days. Thus, in total, 119 (75%) PLWH on ART misperceived the local ART adherence norm, incorrectly believing that most PLWH on ART in this population were sub-optimally adherent. The prevalence of misperceiving the norm ranged from 61 to 88% across subgroups (Table 2).

The first multivariable Poisson regression model indicated that PLWH on ART who incorrectly believed that sub-optimal adherence was the local ART adherence norm (compared with those who correctly thought optimal adherence was the norm) were less likely to report optimal adherence themselves, though the estimate was imprecise (adjusted relative risk [aRR] = 0.91; 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.82-1.01; P = 0.092). The model including married participants only, and additionally adjusting for participant-reported spousal serostatus, indicated that misperceiving the norm was associated with personal sub-optimal adherence behavior (aRR = 0.83; 95% CI 0.71-0.97; P = 0.022) (Table 3). No other factors were associated with ART adherence in either model.

## DISCUSSION

In this study of personal ART adherence behavior within a population-based sample of adult PLWH on ART living in eight villages in rural Uganda, we report two key findings. First, most PLWH on ART in this population did not know that optimal adherence was the local ART adherence norm, incorrectly believing that most PLWH on ART in this same population were sub-optimally adherent to their ART regimens. This finding is consistent with prior research demonstrating pervasive misperceptions about other kinds of behavioral norms in Uganda, South Africa, and elsewhere (19, 33, 34, 38, 39, 80, 86-93). This work builds on those studies by demonstrating the extent of misperceived ART adherence norms within an entire population of PLWH on ART. Additionally, results may be generalizable to comparable contexts as this population is similar to other populations in rural, sub-Saharan Africa where HIV is endemic and most PLWH are on ART.

Second, our results provide evidence that there is a negative association between optimal ART adherence and the extent to which an individual believes that most other PLWH on ART in his or her community are not optimally adherent, especially among married PLWH on ART. These findings are consistent with findings from a study in China among HIV-positive men who have sex with men (94). Men who perceived that most PLWH with a similar CD4 count were on ART were themselves more likely to report a behavioral intention to initiate ART use. Similarly, another study in the southern U.S. found that PLWH with low ART adherence were more likely than those with high ART adherence to perceive that PLWH commonly skip taking their ART medication when consuming alcohol (95). The current findings from Uganda are also consistent with studies on other topics indicating that perceived norms about others' health-promoting and health-risk behavior influence personal health behavior (19, 20, 23, 96-107). Taken together, and in light of earlier work, the findings of our study suggest an opportunity for both a population-wide intervention and a targeted intervention to correct misperceived norms and improve ART adherence rates (as long as effective ART is available) (26, 108).

Promoting information about accurate local adherence norms within a population where norm misperception was present could help increase optimal ART adherence rates in multiple ways. First, PLWH on ART with sub-optimal adherence who had misperceived the norm might improve their own adherence rates upon learning true rates. Even if misperceived norms had not previously influenced adherence behavior for some of these individuals (perhaps, for example, among some single adults in this parish), learning accurate adherence norms might then encourage them to pursue optimal adherence and, if applicable, find ways around any ART-related challenges. For example, new norms information could prompt PLWH to talk with their PLWH peers or supporters about how to overcome barriers to adherence, which may be useful if effective interventions to address other significant barriers to adherence (e.g., concurrent depression) are available. At the same time, correcting misperceived norms among PLWH on ART who were already virally suppressed could support their maintenance of long-term adherence.

Additionally, disseminating information about accurate local ART adherence norms among both PLWH and the larger community might also help reduce HIV-related stigma and secrecy around ART treatment, which could thereby improve adherence at the personal level (55), and also increase adherence at the population level by motivating others to get tested and linked to care if HIV-positive. Relatedly, this new information could motivate those PLWH who know their positive status but are not on ART to subsequently try to link to care (assuming treatment is available to them). It could also prompt greater interpersonal communication between partners or within households about HIV prevention, serostatus disclosure, and adherence more generally. Additionally, partners and other supporters who are aware of ART routines might be more likely to assist PLWH with reaching and maintaining high adherence. Together, these reinforcement processes might then continue to validate what PLWH have learned about local adherence norms (52).

Several methods exist to disseminate accurate information about local norms (52). First, social norms marketing campaigns target a general population and provide information about accurate local norms (96, 102, 109, 110) (e.g., "79% of seniors in the graduating class of 1998 expressed the opinion that students should not drink to an intoxicating level that affects academic work or other responsibilities") (111). Second, personalized normative feedback targets individuals typically exhibiting the undesired behavior and provides information about individual behavior in relation to behavioral norms within specific reference groups (99, 104, 112, 113) (e.g., "You prescribed far more treatments -- 188% more -- than similar prescribers within your state") (113). Third, focus groups target a group of individuals and encourage discussion about their norm perceptions versus local norms, and encourage a deeper process of social reality testing to weaken the power of misperceived norms (114-117). Fourth, highlighting existing positive behaviors among opinion leaders can act as a social norm cue and increase the visibility and salience of the actual norm and contribute to reducing misperceptions (118).

Based on this study, example messages include "Most single / married men living with HIV in your village are on ART and take all of their ART doses each week" or, "Most women / men aged 18-30 who are HIV-positive in your village are on ART and take all their doses each week." They could be distributed via stand-alone radio and other public

formats, and/or be packaged with the delivery of other HIV-related interventions, such as peer counseling and adherence clubs, financial incentive programs, SMS-based adherence support, or couples-based support programs (66, 119-123). Health care providers could also communicate local norm information when interacting with newly diagnosed individuals or when patients come to an HIV-clinic for follow-up care. Local norms could also be visually depicted at the entrances to clinics (67).

The findings from this study are subject to several limitations. First, the direction of causality could not be determined. Second, our data on HIV serostatus and ART adherence data were derived from self-report. However, objectively measured adherence rates from this region are similar to those reported in this study (50, 51). Moreover, even if the actual prevalence of sub-optimal adherence was twice that reported here, weekly optimal adherence would still be the norm for this population. Thus, our primary finding that PLWH on ART underestimated the commonness of optimal adherence among the local population of PLWH on ART would not change. Third, this study did not assess injunctive norms, personal attitudes, or behavioral intentions about ART adherence, which may be important components in a behavioral pathway. Future research should assess the extent to which injunctive norms about ART adherence are misperceived and whether they also influence adherence behavior. Finally, we did not elicit perceived norms about other salient reference groups, nor did we elicit perceived norms about behavior in specific ART-related situations (e.g., whether most PLWH on ART miss any doses under specific scenarios, whether most disclose being on ART to partner and friends, what steps most take to overcome barriers to ART when present). Replication of this investigation using a wider set of ART adherence behavior and perceived norm measures with a larger population of PLWH who represent a more diverse adherence spectrum is warranted.

#### Conclusions

Most PLWH on ART across eight villages in rural Uganda reported optimal adherence to their weekly ART routine. However, optimal adherence rates were still below the 95-95-95 goals, indicating a need for intervention. At the same time, few PLWH on ART in these villages were aware that optimal adherence was the local ART adherence norm. This gap between perception and reality among many PLWH represents a novel opportunity to conduct a social norms-based intervention to inform PLWH about local accurate ART adherence norms. Changing their misperceptions might lead to an increase in personal optimal adherence and, ultimately, an increase in overall complete ART adherence rates. Initial evidence indicated an association between individuals' perception about the local adherence norm and their own adherence, especially among married PLWH on ART.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the HopeNet cohort study participants, without whom this research would not be possible. We also thank members of the HopeNet study team for research assistance; in addition to the named study authors, HopeNet and other collaborative team members who contributed to data collection and/or study administration during all or any part of the study were as follows: Owen Alleluya, Patience Ayebare, Dickson Beinomugisha, Bridget Burns, Patrick Gumisiriza, Clare Kamagara, Elizabeth Namara, Phionah Ahereza, Juliet Mercy, Patrick Lukwago Muleke, Rhina Mushagara, Rumbidzai Mushavi, Elijah Musinguzi, Moran Owembabazi, Sarah Nabachwa, Immaculate Ninsiima, Mellon Tayebwa, and Dagmar Vo echovská. We also thank Roger Hofmann of West Portal Software Corporation (San Francisco, CA, USA), for developing and customizing the Computer Assisted Survey Information Collection

Builder software program used to collect the survey and social network data. We also thank Claire Evans who contributed research assistance in finalizing the manuscript.

#### Funding:

This study was funded by grants from Friends of a Healthy Uganda and U.S. National Institutes of Health (NIH) R01MH113494 to ACT. This work was also partially supported by the NIH-funded Tennessee Center for AIDS Research (P30 AI110527). JMP acknowledges salary support from NIH K01MH115811. JEH acknowledges salary support from NIH K24MH114732.

# REFERENCES

- Bangsberg DR, Perry S, Charlebois ED, et al. Non-adherence to highly active antiretroviral therapy predicts progression to AIDS. AIDS. 2001;15(9):1181–3. [PubMed: 11416722]
- Mills EJ, Bakanda C, Birungi J, et al. Life expectancy of persons receiving combination antiretroviral therapy in low-income countries: a cohort analysis from Uganda. Ann Intern Med. 2011;155(4):209–16. [PubMed: 21768555]
- Antiretroviral Therapy Cohort Collaboration. Life expectancy of individuals on combination antiretroviral therapy in high-income countries: a collaborative analysis of 14 cohort studies. Lancet. 2008;372(9635):293–9. [PubMed: 18657708]
- Cohen MS, Chen YQ, McCauley M, et al. Prevention of HIV-1 infection with early antiretroviral therapy. N Engl J Med. 2011;365(6):493–505. [PubMed: 21767103]
- Uganda Ministry of Health. Uganda Population-Based HIV Impact Assessment (UPHIA) 2016-2017: Final Report. Kampala: Uganda Ministry of Health; 2019.
- 6. UNAIDS. Understanding Fast Track: Accelerating Action to End the AIDS Epidemic by 2030. UNAIDS; 2015.
- Langebeek N, Gisolf EH, Reiss P, et al. Predictors and correlates of adherence to combination antiretroviral therapy (ART) for chronic HIV infection: a meta-analysis. BMC Med. 2014;12(1):142. [PubMed: 25145556]
- Heestermans T, Browne JL, Aitken SC, et al. Determinants of adherence to antiretroviral therapy among HIV-positive adults in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review. BMJ Glob Health. 2016;1(4):e000125.
- 9. Sherif M. The psychology of social norms. New York: Harper; 1936.
- Asch SE. Studies of independence and conformity: a minority of one against a unanimous majority. Psychol Monogr Gen Appl. 1956;70(9):1–70.
- 11. Ajzen I. From intentions to actions: a theory of planned behavior. In: Kuhl J, Beckmann J, editors. Action control: from cognition to behavior. Berlin: Springer; 1985. p. 11–39.
- Cialdini RB, Trost MR. Social influence: social norms, conformity and compliance. In: Gilbert DT, Fiske ST, Lindzey G, editors. The handbook of social psychology. Vol. 1 and 2. 4th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill; 1998. p. 151–92.
- 13. Cialdini RB, Reno RR, Kallgren CA. A focus theory of normative conduct: recycling the concept of norms to reduce littering in public places. J Pers Soc Psychol. 1990;58(6):1015–26.
- 14. Perkins HW, editor. The social norms approach to preventing school and college age substance abuse: a handbook for educators, counselors, and clinicians. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass; 2003.
- Miller DT, Prentice DA. The construction of social norms and standards. In: Higgins ET, Kruglanski AW, editors. Social psychology: handbook of basic principles. New York: The Guilford Press; 1996. p. 799–829.
- Rimal RN, Real K. Understanding the influence of perceived norms on behaviors. Commun Theory. 2003;13(2):184–203.
- Perkins HW. Misperception is reality: the "Reign of Error" about peer risk behaviour norms among youth and young adults. In: Xenitidou M, Edmonds B, editors. The complexity of social norms. New York: Springer; 2014. p. 11–36.
- Prentice DA, Miller DT. Pluralistic ignorance and alcohol use on campus: some consequences of misperceiving the social norm. J Pers Soc Psychol. 1993;64(2):243–56. [PubMed: 8433272]

- 19. Perkins HW, Perkins JM. Using the social norms approach to promote health and reduce risk among college students. In: Cimini MD, Rivero EM, editors. Promoting behavioral health and reducing risk among college students. New York: Routledge; 2018. p. 127–44.
- Dempsey RC, McAlaney J, Bewick BM. A critical appraisal of the social norms approach as an interventional strategy for health-related behavior and attitude change. Front Psychol. 2018;9:1– 16. [PubMed: 29410639]
- Bewick BM, Bell D, Crosby S, et al. Promoting improvements in public health: using a social norms approach to reduce use of alcohol, tobacco and other drugs. Drugs (Abingdon Engl). 2013;20(4):322–30.
- Haines MP, Perkins HW, Rice RM, Barker G. A guide to marketing social norms for health promotion in schools and communities. East Lansing, MI: National Social Norms Resource Center; 2005.
- 23. Miller DT, Prentice DA. Changing norms to change behavior. Annu Rev Psychol. 2016;67:339–61. [PubMed: 26253542]
- 24. Prentice D, Paluck EL. Engineering social change using social norms: lessons from the study of collective action. Curr Opin Psychol. 2020;35:138–42. [PubMed: 32746001]
- 25. Tankard ME, Paluck EL. Norm perception as a vehicle for social change. Soc Issues Policy Rev. 2016;10(1):181–211.
- Cislaghi B, Berkowitz AD. The evolution of social norms interventions for health promotion: distinguishing norms correction and norms transformation. J Glob Health. 2021;11:03065. doi: 10.7189/jogh.11.03065 [PubMed: 34737854]
- 27. Perkins HW. College student misperceptions of alcohol and other drug norms among peers: exploring causes, consequences, and implications for prevention programs. Designing alcohol and other drug prevention programs in higher education: bringing theory into practice. Newton, MA: Higher Education Center for Alcohol and Other Drug Prevention; 1997. p. 177–206.
- Perkins HW, Perkins JM. The social norms approach: confronting the "reign of error" as a successful strategy to reduce harmful drinking and drug use in college. In: Anderson D, Hall T, editors. Leading campus drug and alcohol abuse prevention: grounded approaches for student impact. Washington, DC: National Association of Student Personnel Administrators; 2021. p. 159– 162 & 417-424.
- Rimal RN, Yilma H, Sedlander E, et al. Iron and folic acid consumption and changing social norms: cluster randomized field trial, Odisha, India. Bull World Health Organ. 2021;99(11):773– 82. [PubMed: 34737470]
- 30. Bursztyn L, González AL, Yanagizawa-Drott D. Misperceived social norms: women working outside the home in Saudi Arabia. Am Econ Rev. 2020;110(10):2997–3029.
- Hallsworth M, Chadborn T, Sallis A, et al. Provision of social norm feedback to high prescribers of antibiotics in general practice: a pragmatic national randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2016;387(10029):1743–52. [PubMed: 26898856]
- 32. Schultz PW. Changing behavior with normative feedback interventions: a field experiment on curbside recycling. Basic Appl Soc Psychol. 1999;21(1):25–36.
- Perkins JM, Nyakato VN, Kakuhikire B, et al. Actual versus perceived HIV testing norms, and personal HIV testing uptake: a cross-sectional, population-based study in rural Uganda. AIDS Behav. 2018;22(2):616–28. [PubMed: 28233075]
- 34. Carey KB, Scott-Sheldon LAJ, Carey MP, et al. Community norms for HIV risk behaviors among men in a South African township. J Behav Med. 2011;34(1):32–40. [PubMed: 20680673]
- Mulawa M, Yamanis TJ, Balvanz P, et al. Comparing perceptions with actual reports of close friend's HIV testing behavior among urban Tanzanian men. AIDS Behav. 2016;20(9):2014–22. [PubMed: 26880322]
- 36. Eggers SM, Mathews C, Aarø LE, et al. Predicting primary and secondary abstinence among adolescent boys and girls in the Western Cape, South Africa. AIDS Behav. 2017;21(5):1417–28. [PubMed: 27289370]
- 37. Hill LM, Moody J, Gottfredson NC, et al. Peer norms moderate the association between mental health and sexual risk behaviors among young men living in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania. Soc Sci Med. 2018;196:77–85. [PubMed: 29156358]

- Perkins JM, Krezanoski P, Takada S, et al. Social norms, misperceptions, and mosquito net use: a population-based, cross-sectional study in rural Uganda. Malar J. 2019;18(1):1–13. [PubMed: 30602373]
- 39. Steyl T, Phillips J. Actual and perceived substance use of health science students at a university in the Western Cape, South Africa. Afr Health Sci. 2011;11(3):329–33. [PubMed: 22275920]
- 40. Limaye RJ, Babalola S, Kennedy CE, et al. Descriptive and injunctive norms related to concurrent sexual partnerships in Malawi: implications for HIV prevention research and programming. Health Educ Res. 2013;28(4):563–73. [PubMed: 23784077]
- 41. Perkins JM, Kakuhikire B, Baguma C, et al. Overestimation of alcohol consumption norms as a driver of alcohol consumption: a whole-population network study of men across eight villages in rural, southwestern Uganda. Addiction. 2022;117(1):68–81. [PubMed: 34159646]
- 42. Tsai AC, Kakuhikire B, Perkins JM, et al. Normative vs personal attitudes toward persons with HIV, and the mediating role of perceived HIV stigma in rural Uganda. J Glob Health. 2021;11:04956. doi:10.7189/jogh.11.04056 [PubMed: 34552725]
- 43. Ganz G, Neville FG, Kassanjee R, et al. Parental misperceptions of in-group norms for child discipline. J Community Appl Soc Psychol. 2020;30(6):628–44.
- 44. Perkins JM, Kakuhikire B, Baguma C, et al. Perceived and misperceived norms about khat and/or cannabis use among adults in southwest Uganda. Int J Drug Policy. 2022;101:103527. doi:10.1016/j.drugpo.2021.103527 [PubMed: 34890907]
- Blair G, Littman R, Paluck EL. Motivating the adoption of new community-minded behaviors: An empirical test in Nigeria. Sci Adv. 2019;5(3):eaau5175. doi:10.1126/sciadv.aau5175 [PubMed: 30891494]
- 46. Paluck EL, Green DP. Deference, dissent, and dispute resolution: an experimental intervention using mass media to change norms and behavior in Rwanda. Am Polit Sci Rev. 2009;103(4):622– 44.
- 47. Paluck EL. Reducing intergroup prejudice and conflict using the media: a field experiment in Rwanda. J Pers Soc Psychol. 2009;96(3):574–87. [PubMed: 19254104]
- Green DP, Wilke AM, Cooper J. Countering violence against women by encouraging disclosure: a mass media experiment in rural Uganda. Comp Pol Stud. 2020;53(14):2283–320.
- 49. Köbis NC, Troost M, Brandt CO, et al. Social norms of corruption in the field: social nudges on posters can help to reduce bribery. Behav Public Policy.Epub 2019 Dec 20. 10.1017/bpp.2019.37
- 50. Haberer JE, Bwana BM, Orrell C, et al. ART adherence and viral suppression are high among most non-pregnant individuals with early-stage, asymptomatic HIV infection: an observational study from Uganda and South Africa. J Int AIDS Soc. 2019;22(2):e25232. [PubMed: 30746898]
- Haberer JE, Kiwanuka J, Nansera D, et al. Realtime adherence monitoring of antiretroviral therapy among HIV-infected adults and children in rural Uganda. AIDS. 2013;27(13):2166–8. [PubMed: 23751260]
- 52. Prentice DA. Intervening to change social norms: when does it work? Social Research: An International Quarterly. 2018;85(1):115–39.
- Takada S, Nyakato V, Nishi A, et al. The social network context of HIV stigma: population-based, sociocentric network study in rural Uganda. Soc Sci Med. 2019;233:229–36. [PubMed: 31229909]
- 54. Tsai AC, Bangsberg D, Kegeles S, et al. Internalized stigma, social distance, and disclosure of HIV seropositivity in rural Uganda. Ann Behav Med. 2013;46(3):285–94. [PubMed: 23690283]
- 55. Katz IT, Ryu AE, Onuegbu AG, et al. Impact of HIV-related stigma on treatment adherence: systematic review and meta-synthesis. J Int AIDS Soc. 2013;16: 18640 https://doiorg.proxy.library.vanderbilt.edu/10.7448/IAS.16.3.18640. [PubMed: 24242258]
- Chan BT, Maughan-Brown BG, Bogart LM, et al. Trajectories of HIV-related internalized stigma and disclosure concerns among art initiators and noninitiators in South Africa. Stigma Health. 2019;4(4):433–41. [PubMed: 31788556]
- Manne-Goehler J, Rohr J, Montana L, et al. ART denial: results of a home-based study to validate self-reported antiretroviral use in rural South Africa. AIDS Behav. 2019;23(8):2072–8. [PubMed: 30523490]
- Ross L. The intuitive psychologist and his shortcomings: distortions in the attribution process. Adv Exp Soc Psychol. 1977;10:173–220.

- 59. Gilbert DT, Malone PS. The correspondence bias. Psychol Bull. 1995;117(1):21–38. [PubMed: 7870861]
- 60. Chia SC, Gunther AC. How media contribute to misperceptions of social norms about sex. Mass Commun Soc. 2006;9(3):301–20.
- 61. Chia SC, Lee W. Pluralistic ignorance about sex: the direct and the indirect effects of media consumption on college students' misperception of sex-related peer norms. Int J Public Opin Res. 2008;20(1):52–73.
- Wang MN, Jiang CL. The expected and unexpected media effects on youth's (mis)perceptions of peer norms: Chinese college students' overestimation of peer smoking prevalence. Chin J Commun. 2017;10(2):115–33.
- 63. Ren C, Simpson E. Media hurts: perspectives of people living with HIV on HIV stigmatization in Chinese media discourse. China Media Res. 2017;13(2):86–98.
- 64. Ren C, Hust SJT, Zhang P. Stigmatizing HIV/AIDS in the 21st century? Newspaper coverage of HIV/AIDS in China. China Media Report Overseas. 2010;6(4):3–15.
- 65. Ryoo Y, Kim W. Using descriptive and injunctive norms to encourage COVID-19 social distancing and vaccinations. Health Commun. Epub 2021 Sept 2. 10.1080/10410236.2021.1973702
- 66. MacCarthy S, Mendoza-Graf A, Saya U, et al. Lessons learned from a mobile technology-based intervention informed by behavioral economics to improve ART adherence among youth in Uganda. AIDS Care. 2020;32(5):616–22. [PubMed: 31137959]
- 67. McCoy SI, Fahey C, Rao A, et al. Pilot study of a multi-pronged intervention using social norms and priming to improve adherence to antiretroviral therapy and retention in care among adults living with HIV in Tanzania. PLoS ONE. 2017;12(5):e0177394. [PubMed: 28486553]
- Rudolph AE, Dembo RS, Tobin K, et al. Perceived HIV treatment norms modify the association between HIV-related stigma and adherence to antiretroviral therapy among persons living with HIV in Baltimore, Maryland. AIDS Behav. 2022;26:537–48. [PubMed: 34338899]
- 69. Uganda Bureau of Statistics. National population and housing census 2014: provisional results. Kampala: Uganda Bureau of Statistics; 2014.
- Perkins JM, Nyakato VN, Kakuhikire B, et al. Food insecurity, social networks, and symptoms of depression among men and women in rural Uganda: a cross-sectional, population-based study. Public Health Nutr. 2018;21(5):838–48. [PubMed: 28988551]
- 71. Tsai AC, Kakuhikire B, Mushavi R, et al. Population-based study of intra-household gender differences in water insecurity: reliability and validity of a survey instrument for use in rural Uganda. J Water Health. 2016;14(2):280–92. [PubMed: 27105413]
- 72. Mushavi RC, Burns BFO, Kakuhikire B, et al. "When you have no water, it means you have no peace": a mixed-methods, whole-population study of water insecurity and depression in rural Uganda. Soc Sci Med. 2020;245:112561. [PubMed: 31790879]
- 73. Kakuhikire B, Satinsky EN, Baguma C, et al. Correlates of attendance at community engagement meetings held in advance of bio-behavioral research studies: a longitudinal, sociocentric social network study in rural Uganda. PLoS Med. 2021;18(7):e1003705. [PubMed: 34270581]
- 74. Laumann E, Marsden P, Prensky D. The boundary specification problem in network analysis. In: Freeman LC, White DR, Romney AK, editors. Research methods in social network analysis. Newberry Park: Sage; 1983. p. 61–87.
- 75. Marsden PV. Network data and measurement. Annu Rev Sociol. 1990;16:435-63.
- 76. Perkins JM, Subramanian SV, Christakis NA. Social networks and health: a systematic review of sociocentric network studies in low- and middle-income countries. Soc Sci Med. 2015;125:60–78. [PubMed: 25442969]
- 77. Marin A, Hampton KN. Simplifying the personal network name generator: alternatives to traditional multiple and single name generators. Field Methods. 2007;19(2):163–93.
- Tsai AC, Kakuhikire B, Perkins JM, et al. Measuring personal beliefs and perceived norms about intimate partner violence: population-based survey experiment in rural Uganda. PLoS Med. 2017;14(5):e1002303. [PubMed: 28542176]
- 79. Rasmussen JD, Kakuhikire B, Baguma C, et al. Portrayals of mental illness, treatment, and relapse and their effects on the stigma of mental illness: population-based, randomized survey experiment in rural Uganda. PLoS Med. 2019;16(9):e1002908. [PubMed: 31539373]

- Perkins JM, Perkins HW, Jurinsky J, et al. Adolescent tobacco use and misperceptions of social norms across schools in the United States. J Stud Alcohol Drugs. 2019;80(6):659–68. [PubMed: 31790356]
- 81. Shibutani T. Reference groups as perspectives. Am J Sociol. 1955;60(6):562-9.
- Ashaba S, Kakuhikire B, Vo echovská D, et al. Reliability, validity, and factor structure of the Hopkins Symptom Checklist-25: population-based study of persons living with HIV in rural Uganda. AIDS Behav. 2018;22(5):1467–74. [PubMed: 28667469]
- Zou G. A modified poisson regression approach to prospective studies with binary data. Am J Epidemiol. 2004;159(7):702–6. [PubMed: 15033648]
- 84. Conroy A, Leddy A, Johnson M, et al. 'I told her this is your life': relationship dynamics, partner support and adherence to antiretroviral therapy among South African couples. Cult Health Sex. 2017;19(11):1239–53. [PubMed: 28398134]
- Karney BR, Hops H, Redding CA, et al. A framework for incorporating dyads in models of HIV-prevention. AIDS Behav. 2010;14(2):189–203.
- 86. Dempsey RC, McAlaney J, Helmer SM, et al. Normative perceptions of cannabis use among European university students: associations of perceived peer use and peer attitudes with personal use and attitudes. J Stud Alcohol Drugs. 2016;77(5):740–8. [PubMed: 27588532]
- McAlaney J, Helmer SM, Stock C, et al. Personal and perceived peer use of and attitudes toward alcohol among university and college students in seven EU countries: Project SNIPE. J Stud Alcohol Drugs. 2015;76(3):430–8. [PubMed: 25978829]
- 88. Lehne G, Zeeb H, Pischke CR, et al. Personal and perceived peer use and attitudes towards use of non-prescribed prescription sedatives and sleeping pills among university students in seven European countries. Addict Behav. 2018;87:17–23. [PubMed: 29940387]
- Pischke CR, Helmer SM, McAlaney J, et al. Normative misperceptions of tobacco use among university students in seven European countries: baseline findings of the 'Social Norms Intervention for the prevention of Polydrug usE' study. Addict Behav. 2015;51:158–64. [PubMed: 26275842]
- Lewis MA, Neighbors C. Gender-specific misperceptions of college student drinking norms. Psychol Addict Behav. 2004;18(4):334–9. [PubMed: 15631605]
- Larimer ME, Neighbors C. Normative misperception and the impact of descriptive and injunctive norms on college student gambling. Psychol Addict Behav. 2003;17(3):235–43. [PubMed: 14498818]
- Krieger H, Pedersen ER, Neighbors C. The impact of normative perceptions on alcohol consumption in military veterans. Addiction. 2017;112(10):1765–72. [PubMed: 28548347]
- 93. Pedersen ER, Marshall GN, Schell TL, et al. Young adult veteran perceptions of peers' drinking behavior and attitudes. Psychol Addict Behav. 2016;30(1):39–51. [PubMed: 26415056]
- 94. Yang X, Wang Z, Wang X, et al. Behavioral intention to initiate antiretroviral therapy (ART) among Chinese HIV-infected men who have sex with men having high CD4 count in the era of "Treatment for All". Am J Mens Health. 2019;13(1):1557988319828615. [PubMed: 30819066]
- Kalichman SC, Amaral CM, White D, et al. Alcohol and adherence to antiretroviral medications: interactive toxicity beliefs among people living with HIV. J Assoc Nurses AIDS Care. 2012;23(6):511–20. [PubMed: 22421097]
- 96. Perkins HW, Linkenbach JW, Lewis MA, et al. Effectiveness of social norms media marketing in reducing drinking and driving: a statewide campaign. Addict Behav. 2010;35(10):866–74. [PubMed: 20619177]
- 97. Mulla MM, Witte TH, Richardson K, et al. The causal influence of perceived social norms on intimate partner violence perpetration: converging cross-sectional, longitudinal, and experimental support for a social disinhibition model. Pers Soc Psychol Bull. 2019;45(4):652–68. [PubMed: 30226406]
- Lewis MA, Litt DM, Neighbors C. The chicken or the egg: examining temporal precedence among attitudes, injunctive norms, and college student drinking. J Stud Alcohol Drugs. 2015;76(4):594– 601. [PubMed: 26098035]

- 99. Neighbors C, Rodriguez LM, Rinker DV, et al. Efficacy of personalized normative feedback as a brief intervention for college student gambling: a randomized controlled trial. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2015;83(3):500. [PubMed: 26009785]
- 100. Reid AE, Aiken LS. Correcting injunctive norm misperceptions motivates behavior change: a randomized controlled sun protection intervention. Health Psychol. 2013;32(5):551–60. [PubMed: 23646838]
- 101. Bewick BM, West RM, Barkham M, et al. The effectiveness of a web-based personalized feedback and social norms alcohol intervention on United Kingdom university students: randomized controlled trial. J Med Internet Res. 2013;15(7):e137. [PubMed: 23883616]
- 102. DeJong W, Schneider SK, Towvim LG, et al. A multisite randomized trial of social norms marketing campaigns to reduce college student drinking. J Stud Alcohol. 2006;67(6):868–79. [PubMed: 17061004]
- 103. Vallentin-Holbech L, Rasmussen BM, Stock C. Does level of received intervention dose have an impact on the effectiveness of the social norms alcohol prevention program The GOOD Life? Front Public Health. 2019;7:245. [PubMed: 31555631]
- 104. Testa M, Livingston JA, Wang W, et al. Preventing college sexual victimization by reducing hookups: a randomized controlled trial of a personalized normative feedback intervention. Prev Sci. 2020;21(3):388–97. [PubMed: 32060880]
- 105. Berry-Cabán CS, Orchowski LM, Wimsatt M, et al. Perceived and collective norms associated with sexual violence among male soldiers. J Fam Violence. 2020;35(4):339–47.
- 106. Zikmund-Fisher BJ, Windschitl PD, Exe N, et al. 'I'll do what they did": social norm information and cancer treatment decisions. Patient Educ Couns. 2011;85(2):225–9. [PubMed: 21367557]
- 107. Mollen S, Holland RW, Ruiter RAC, et al. When the frame fits the social picture: the effects of framed social norm messages on healthy and unhealthy food consumption. Communic Res. 2021;48(3):346–78.
- 108. Ganz G, Neville FG, Ward CL. Applying behavioural science to issues of public health in South Africa: the case for social norms intervention. S Afr J Sci. 2017;113 (5-6):1–5.
- 109. Perkins H, Craig DW. A multifaceted social norms approach to reduce high-risk drinking: lessons from Hobart and William Smith Colleges. Newton, MA: The Higher Education Center for Alcohol and Other Drug Prevention and the U.S. Department of Education; 2002
- 110. Perkins HW, Craig DW. The Hobart and William Smith Colleges experiment: a synergistic social norms approach using print, electronic media, and curriculum infusion to reduce collegiate problem drinking. In: Perkins HW, editor. The social norms approach to preventing school and college age substance abuse: a handbook for educators, counselors, and clinicians. Hoboken: Jossey-Bass/Wiley; 2003. p. 35–64.
- 111. Perkins HW. Surveying the damage: a review of research on consequences of alcohol misuse in college populations. J Stud Alcohol Suppl. 2002;s14:91–100.
- 112. Lewis MA, Neighbors C. Social norms approaches using descriptive drinking norms education: a review of the research on personalized normative feedback. J Am Coll Health. 2006;54(4):213–8. [PubMed: 16450845]
- 113. Sacarny A, Barnett ML, Le J, et al. Effect of peer comparison letters for high-volume primary care prescribers of quetiapine in older and disabled adults: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA Psychiat. 2018;75(10):1003–11.
- 114. Stock C, Lavasani Kjær S, Rasmussen BM, et al. Youth experiences with social norms feedback: qualitative findings from the drug prevention trial the GOOD Life. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17(9):3200.
- Schroeder CM, Prentice DA. Exposing pluralistic ignorance to reduce alcohol use among college students. J Appl Soc Psychol. 1998;28(23):2150–80.
- 116. Reilly DW, Wood MD. A randomized test of a small-group interactive social norms intervention. J Am Coll Health. 2008;57(1):53–60. [PubMed: 18682346]
- 117. Steffian G. Correction of normative misperceptions: an alcohol abuse prevention program. J Drug Educ. 1999;29(2):115–38. [PubMed: 10429354]

- 118. Paluck EL, Shepherd H. The salience of social referents: a field experiment on collective norms and harassment behavior in a school social network. J Pers Soc Psychol. 2012;103(6):899–915. [PubMed: 22984831]
- 119. Bassett IV, Wilson D, Taaffe J, et al. Financial incentives to improve progression through the HIV treatment cascade. Curr Opin HIV AIDS. 2015;10(6):451–63. [PubMed: 26371461]
- Remien RH, Stirratt MJ, Dolezal C, et al. Couple-focused support to improve HIV medication adherence: a randomized controlled trial. AIDS. 2005;19(8):807–14. [PubMed: 15867495]
- 121. Haberer JE, Musiimenta A, Atukunda EC, et al. Short message service (SMS) reminders and real-time adherence monitoring improve antiretroviral therapy adherence in rural Uganda. AIDS. 2016;30(8):1295–300. [PubMed: 26760452]
- 122. Haberer JE, Sabin L, Amico KR, et al. Improving antiretroviral therapy adherence in resourcelimited settings at scale: a discussion of interventions and recommendations. J Int AIDS Soc. 2017;20:21371 [PubMed: 28630651]
- 123. MacCarthy S, Wagner Z, Mendoza-Graf A, et al. A randomized controlled trial study of the acceptability, feasibility, and preliminary impact of SITA (SMS as an Incentive To Adhere): a mobile technology-based intervention informed by behavioral economics to improve ART adherence among youth in Uganda. BMC Infect Dis. 2020;20(1):173. [PubMed: 32093630]

#### Table 1.

Antiretroviral therapy (ART) adherence among PLWH living in eight villages in southwest Uganda (N = 159)

	with 1	ons living HIV and ART	ор	reported otimal erence <sup>*</sup>
	Ν	(%)	n	(%)
Sex				
Women	103	(65%)	94	(91%)
Men	56	(35%)	48	(86%)
Age				
18-39 years	54	(34%)	48	(89%)
40-49 years	59	(37%)	54	(92%)
50+ years	46	(29%)	40	(87%)
Education				
None/some primary	88	(55%)	80	(91%)
Completed primary or more	71	(45%)	62	(87%)
Symptoms indicative of probable depression				
Probable depression	33	(21%)	29	(88%)
No probable depression	126	(79%)	113	(90%)
Alcohol consumption				
Consumes alcohol 2+ times per week	23	(14%)	18	(78%)
Consumes alcohol less often or never	136	(86%)	124	(91%)
Time since HIV diagnosis <sup><i>a</i></sup>				
Diagnosed within past 12 months	6	(4%)	5	(83%)
Diagnosed 1-5 years ago	36	(23%)	30	(83%)
Diagnosed > 5 years ago	116	(73%)	106	(91%)
Marital status and participant-reported spousal HIV status <sup>a</sup>				
Not married or cohabiting	63	(40%)	57	(90%)
Has a spouse who is HIV-positive	72	(45%)	64	(89%)
Has a spouse with an HIV-negative/unknown status	23	(14%)	20	(87%)
Self-reported HIV status and ART adherence among alters in participant's personal network				
Network contains at least 1 HIV-positive alter, all of whom reported optimal ART adherence $^{*}$	107	(67%)	97	(91%)
Network contains no HIV-positive alters	38	(24%)	34	(89%)
Network contains at least 1 HIV-positive alter who either reported not being on ART or reported sub- optimal ART adherence $*$	14	(9%)	11	(79%)

\* Optimal adherence was defined as not missing any ART doses in the past 7 days. Sub-optimal adherence was defined as missing 1 or more ART doses in the past 7 days.

<sup>a</sup>One participant was missing a response.

~
~
<u> </u>
t
_
=
0
-
~
<
_
Ш Ш
~
S
0
<u> </u>
¥

# Table 2.

Perception of the local ART adherence norm among PLWH living in eight villages in southwest Uganda (N = 159)

	Thought r ART in this optimal	Thought most PLWH on ART in this population were optimally adherent*	Did not think ART in this <sub>I</sub> optimally	Did not think most PLWH on ART in this population were optimally adherent*
		(%)		(%)
Sex				
Women	22	(21%)	81	(362)
Men	18	(32%)	38	(98%)
Age				
18-39 years	11	(20%)	43	(80%)
40-49 years	15	(25%)	44	(75%)
50+ years	14	(30%)	32	(20%)
Education				
None/some primary	23	(26%)	65	(74%)
Completed primary or more	17	(24%)	54	(76%)
Symptoms indicative of probable depression				
Probable depression	4	(12%)	29	(88%)
No probable depression	36	(29%)	06	(71%)
Alcohol consumption				
Consumes alcohol 2+ times per week	6	(39%)	14	(61%)
Consumes alcohol less often or never	31	(23%)	105	(11%)
Time since HIV diagnosis				
Diagnosed within past 12 months	1	(17%)	5	(83%)
Diagnosed 1-5 years ago	7	(19%)	29	(81%)
Diagnosed > 5 years ago	32	(28%)	84	(72%)
Marital status and participant-reported spousal HIV status				
Not married or cohabiting	17	(27%)	46	(13%)
Has a spouse who is HIV-positive	18	(25%)	54	(75%)
Has a spouse with an HIV-negative/unknown status	5	(22%)	18	(78%)
Self-reported HIV status and ART adherence among alters in participant's personal network				
Network contains at least 1 HIV-positive alter, all of whom reported optimal ART adherence $^{st}$	28	(26%)	79	(74%)

Author Manuscript	
Author Manuscript	
Author Manuscript	

Author Manuscript

Network contains at least 1 HIV-positive alter who either reported not being on ART or reported sub-optimal ART adherence  $^{*}$ 

Network contains no HIV-positive alters

\* Optimal adherence was defined as not missing any ART doses in the past 7 days. Sub-optimal adherence was defined as missing 1 or more ART doses in the past 7 days.

(86%)

12

(14%)

2

nnn <th< th=""><th>Variables</th><th>Population of married participants</th><th></th><th>Optimal ART adherence<sup>*</sup></th><th>adherence</th><th>*</th></th<>	Variables	Population of married participants		Optimal ART adherence <sup>*</sup>	adherence	*
eption of local ART adherence norm $2.76\%$ $0.33$ $0.71, 0.07$ $-2.29$ in on thisk the more PWH on ART were optimally adheren $2.76\%$ $0.33$ $0.71, 0.07$ $-2.29$ in one thisk the more PWH on ART were optimally adheren $2.37\%$ $1.10$ $0.87, 1.38$ $0.81$ in one thisk the more PLWH on ART were optimally adheren $2.37\%$ $1.10$ $0.87, 1.38$ $0.81$ in one this $4.34\%$ RE $4.34\%$ $8.6\%$ $0.81, 1.35$ $0.81$ in one should expression $0.65\%$ $0.84, 1.15$ $0.81, 1.35$ $0.87$ $0.81$ on obtable depression $7.47\%$ $8.6\%$ $0.84, 1.15$ $0.22$ on obtable depression $7.47\%$ $8.6\%$ $0.91, 1.05$ $0.23$ on obtable depression $7.47\%$ $8.6\%$ $0.91, 1.05$ $0.24$ on obtable depression </th <th></th> <th>a</th> <th>aRR</th> <th>(95% CI)</th> <th>Test- statistic<sup>a</sup></th> <th>p-value</th>		a	aRR	(95% CI)	Test- statistic <sup>a</sup>	p-value
in this that mose PLWH on AFT were optimally athereat $27$ (76%) $68$ $(0.1, 0.97)$ $-2.29$ nongh that mose PLWH on AFT were optimally athereat $23$ (3.4%) $EE$ $(0.1, 0.97)$ $-2.29$ none $23$ (3.4%) $EE$ $(0.9, 1.10)$ $(0.9, 1.10)$ $0.93$ none $43$ (3.5%) $EE$ $(0.9, 1.10)$ $0.93$ $0.91$ $0.95$ none $(0.1, 0.10)$ $1.00$ $(0.9, 1.10)$ $0.91$ $0.95$ $0.91$ $0.95$ none $(0.1, 0.10)$ $1.00$ $0.91$ $0.91$ $0.91$ $0.95$ none $(0.1, 0.10)$ $0.91$ $0.91$ $0.91$ $0.95$ $0.91$ $0.95$ none $0.91$ $0.91$ $0.91$ $0.91$ $0.92$ <td>Perception of local ART adherence norm</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Perception of local ART adherence norm					
Nonder the mode PLWH on ATT were optimully afficient $2$ (2.4%)         REF $3$ (3.5%)         REF $3$ (3.5%) $3$ (3	Did not think that most PLWH on ART were optimally adherent	72 (76%)	0.83	(0.71, 0.97)	-2.29	0.022
nem         2.55%         1.0         0.87.1.36         0.81           nem         4.3 (45%)         R.F         0.87.1.36         0.81           (n yeas)         nem = 42 (4.1)         1.0         (0.9, 1.0)         0.85           (n yeas)         nem = 42 (5.4)         R.F         0.89         0.85           on solution         90 (55%)         R.F         0.85         0.85         0.85           on solution         90 (55%)         R.F         0.85	Thought that most PLWH on ART were optimally adherent	23 (24%)	REF			
	Sex					
	Women	52 (55%)	1.10	(0.87, 1.38)	0.81	0.417
mean = 42 (s.4. = 10)  [0.09, 1.01)  -0.85 $45 (47%)  REF$ $50 (53%)  0.94  (0.82, 1.08)  -0.87$ $21 (22%)  0.96  (0.84, 1.15)  -0.22$ $74 (78%)  REF$ $15 (16%)  104  (0.81, 1.13)  0.28$ $80 (84%)  REF$ $15 (16%)  0.91  (0.81, 1.33)  0.28$ $80 (84%)  REF$ $5 (5%)  0.92  (0.79, 1.07)  -1.06$ $5 (6%)  REF$ $11 N satus$ $12 (76%)  105  (0.91, 1.23)  0.55$ $n status$ $12 (16%)  105  (0.91, 1.23)  0.55$ $12 (16%)  105  105  0.55$ $12 (16%)  105  0.55$ $12 (16%)  105  0.55$ $12 (16%)  105  0.55$ $12 (16%)  105  0.55$ $12 (16%)  105  0.55$ $12 (16%)  105  0.55$ $12 (16%)  105  0.55$ $12 (16%)  105  0.55$ $12 (16%)  105  0.55$ $12 (16%)  105  0.55$ $12 (16%)  0.55$ $12 ($	Men	43 (45%)	REF			
45 (47%)       REF       50 (53%)       0.94       (0.82, 1.08)       -0.87         50 (53%)       0.94       (0.84, 1.15)       -0.22       -0.23       -0.24         21 (22%)       80 (84%)       REF       -0.22       -0.23       -0.23         74 (78%)       REF       74 (78%)       REF       -0.23       -0.23         80 (84%)       REF       60 (84, 1.15)       -0.23       -0.23         al HV status       5 (5%)       0.94       (0.84, 1.30)       -1.06         as status       72 (76%)       REF       -0.23       -1.05         of alters in participant's personal network       1.9 (20%)       1.30       0.55       0.54       0.54       0.55	Age (in years)	mean = $42$ (s.d. = $10$ )	1.00	(0.99, 1.01)	-0.85	0.394
45 (47%)       REF         50 (53%)       0.94       (0.82,108)       -0.87         50 (53%)       0.94       (0.84,115)       -0.22         74 (78%)       REF       -0.23       -0.23         74 (78%)       REF       -0.24       -0.24         80 (84%)       REF       -0.24       -0.28         15 (16%)       1.04       (0.81,135)       -0.28         80 (84%)       REF       -0.24       -0.28         81 HV status       5 (5%)       0.94       (0.81,130)       -1.06         81 HV status       -0.22 (26%)       0.92       -0.28       -0.28         at HV status       -0.22 (26%)       0.92       0.79, 1.07       -1.06         at status       -0.22 (26%)       1.05       0.79, 1.07       -1.06         at status       -0.22 (26%)       1.05       0.71, 2.30       0.55         at status       -0.22 (26%)       1.06       0.09       0.05       0.05         at status       -0.22 (26%)       1.05       0.07       0.05       0.05         at status       -0.22 (26%)       1.06       0.09       0.05       0.05       0.05         at status       -0.22 (26%)	Education					
50 (53%)       0.94       (0.82, 1.08)       -0.87         21 (22%)       0.98       (0.84, 1.15)       -0.22         74 (78%)       REF       -0.22       -0.23         74 (78%)       REF       -0.23       -0.23         74 (78%)       REF       -0.23       -0.24         80 (84%)       REF       -0.22       -0.28         81 (100)       1.04       (0.81, 1.30)       -0.28         82 (58%)       REF       -0.33       -0.38         81 HIV status       5 (5%)       0.94       (0.81, 1.30)       -1.06         65 (68%)       REF       -0.32       -0.38       -0.38         at HIV status       -1.05       0.94       (0.84, 1.20)       -1.06         at status       -2.2 (24%)       REF       -0.33       0.55         w status       -1.06       -1.07       1.06       0.55         0.04       1.05       0.94       0.51       0.55	None/some primary	45 (47%)	REF			
21 (22%)       0.98       (0.84, 1.15)       -022         74 (78%)       REF        -021         15 (16%)       1.04       (0.81, 1.33)       0.28         80 (84%)       REF        -0.22         80 (84%)       REF        -0.38         81 HV status          -0.32         81 HV status           -0.36       -0.38         81 HV status           -0.38       -0.38         81 HV status            -0.38       0.38         81 HV status           0.39       0.5	Completed primary or more	50 (53%)	0.94	(0.82, 1.08)	-0.87	0.382
	Symptoms indicative of probable depression					
74 (78%)       REF         mes per week       15 (16%)       1.04       (0.81, 1.33)       0.28         often or never       80 (84%)       REF       0.28       0.28         often or never       80 (84%)       REF       0.28       0.28         2 months       5 (5%)       0.94       (0.68, 1.30)       -0.38         0       0       25 (26%)       0.92       (0.79, 1.07)       -1.06         0       0       25 (3%)       REF       4       -1.05       -0.38         0       0       0.92       (0.79, 1.07)       -1.06	Probable depression	21 (22%)	0.98	(0.84, 1.15)	-0.22	0.828
mes per week         15 (16%)         1.04         (0.81, 1.33)         0.28           often or never         80 (84%)         REF         -0.38         -0.38           2 months         5 (5%)         0.94         (0.68, 1.30)         -0.38           0         0         0.92         (0.79, 1.07)         -1.06           0         0         0.92         (0.79, 1.07)         -1.06           0         0         0.92         (0.79, 1.07)         -1.06           0         0         0.92         (0.79, 1.07)         -1.06           0         0         0.92         (0.79, 1.07)         -1.06           0         0         0         0.92         (0.79, 1.07)         -1.06           0         0         0         0.92         (0.79, 1.07)         -1.06           0         0         0         0.92         (0.79, 1.07)         -1.06           0         0         0         0.92         (0.79, 1.07)         -1.06           0         0         0         0         0.92         0.93         0.55           N-negative/unknown status         0         0.02         0.92         0.92         0.94         0.55	No probable depression	74 (78%)	REF			
mes per week $15 (16\%)$ $1.04$ $(0.81, 1.33)$ $0.28$ often or never $80 (84\%)$ $REF$ $0.24$ $0.28$ $2 nonths$ $5 (5\%)$ $0.94$ $(0.68, 1.30)$ $-0.38$ $2 nonths$ $5 (5\%)$ $0.92$ $(0.79, 1.07)$ $-1.06$ $0$ $0.52 (5\%)$ $0.92$ $(0.79, 1.07)$ $-1.06$ $0$ $0.55 (68\%)$ $REF$ $-1.06$ $0$ $0.52 (5\%)$ $0.92$ $(0.79, 1.07)$ $-1.06$ $0$ $0.51 (5\%)$ $0.92$ $(0.79, 1.07)$ $-1.06$ $0$ $0.51 (5\%)$ $0.92$ $(0.79, 1.07)$ $-1.06$ $0$ $0.52 (5\%)$ $0.92$ $(0.92, 1.23)$ $0.55$ $0$ $0.92 (5.68\%)$ $1.05$ $0.92 (5.68\%)$ $0.92 (5.68\%)$ $0$ $0.92 (5.68\%)$ $0.92 (5.68\%)$ $0.92 (5.68\%)$ $0.92 (5.68\%)$ $0$ $0.92 (5.68\%)$	Alcohol consumption					
often or never $80$ ( $84\%$ )         REF           2 nonths         5 ( $5\%$ ) $0.94$ $(0.68, 1.30)$ $-0.38$ 0         0         0.92 $(0.79, 1.07)$ $-1.06$ 0         0         0.92 $(0.79, 1.07)$ $-1.06$ 0         0         0.92 $(0.79, 1.07)$ $-1.06$ 0         0         0.92 $(0.79, 1.07)$ $-1.06$ 0         0         0         0.92 $(0.79, 1.07)$ $-1.06$ 0         0         0         0.92 $(0.79, 1.07)$ $-1.06$ 0         0         0         0 $0.92$ $(0.79, 1.07)$ $-1.06$ 0         0         0         0 $0.22$ $(0.79, 1.23)$ $0.55$ V-positive         1         0 $1.02$ $(0.71, 2.36)$ $0.55$ N-negative/unknown status         N         N         N         N $N$ N ART adherence of alters in participant's personal network $1.02$ $1.30$ $0.71, 2.36$ $0.85$	Consumes alcohol 2+ times per week	15 (16%)	1.04	(0.81, 1.33)	0.28	0.779
2 months       5 (5%)       0.94       (0.68, 1.30)       -0.38         0       25 (26%)       0.92       (0.79, 1.07)       -1.06         0       65 (68%)       REF       -1.06         ant-reported spousal HIV status       72 (76%)       1.05       (0.89, 1.23)       0.55         V-positive       72 (76%)       1.05       (0.89, 1.23)       0.55         I-negative/unknown status       23 (24%)       REF       1.05       0.55         IV-positive afters in participant's personal network       1.9 (20%)       1.30       0.71, 2.36)       0.85	Consumes alcohol less often or never	80 (84%)	REF			
5 (5%)       0.94       (0.68, 1.30)       -0.38         25 (26%)       0.92       (0.79, 1.07)       -1.06         65 (68%)       REF       -       -1.05         72 (76%)       1.05       (0.89, 1.23)       0.55         23 (24%)       REF       -       0.55         19 (20%)       1.30       (0.71, 2.36)       0.85	Time since HIV diagnosis					
25 (26%)     0.92     (0.79, 1.07)     -1.06       65 (68%)     REF     72 (76%)     1.05     (0.89, 1.23)     0.55       23 (24%)     REF     23 (24%)     REF     0.55       19 (20%)     1.30     (0.71, 2.36)     0.85	Diagnosed within past 12 months	5 (5%)	0.94	(0.68, 1.30)	-0.38	0.701
65 (68%) REF 72 (76%) 1.05 (0.89, 1.23) 0.55 23 (24%) REF 19 (20%) 1.30 (0.71, 2.36) 0.85	Diagnosed 1-5 years ago	25 (26%)	0.92	(0.79, 1.07)	-1.06	0.290
72 (76%) 1.05 (0.89, 1.23) 0.55 23 (24%) REF 19 (20%) 1.30 (0.71, 2.36) 0.85	Diagnosed > 5 years ago	65 (68%)	REF			
72 (76%) 1.05 (0.89, 1.23) 0.55 23 (24%) REF 19 (20%) 1.30 (0.71, 2.36) 0.85	Marital status and participant-reported spousal HIV status					
23 (24%) REF 19 (20%) 1.30 (0.71, 2.36) 0.85	Has a spouse who is HIV-positive	72 (76%)	1.05	(0.89, 1.23)	0.55	0.584
19 (20%) 1.30 (0.71, 2.36) 0.85	Has a spouse with an HIV-negative/unknown status	23 (24%)	REF			
19 (20%) 1.30 (0.71, 2.36) 0.85	Self-reported HIV status and ART adherence of alters in participant's personal network					
	Network contains no HIV-positive alters	19 (20%)	1.30	(0.71, 2.36)	0.85	0.396

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 3.

₽
5
5
0
$\leq$
0
5
Sn

Author Manuscript

Variables ma	Population of married participants	0	Optimal ART adherence <sup>*</sup>	herence*	
	n al	aRR (9	(95% CI) <sup>1</sup> sta	Test- statistic <sup>a</sup> p-value	p-value
Network contains at least 1 HIV-positive alter who either reported not being on ART or reported sub-optimal ART adherence $^{*}$	10 (11%) RI	REF			
Network contains at least 1 HIV-positive alter, all of whom reported optimal ART adherence $^{st}$	66 (69%) 1.	16 (0	1.16 (0.61, 2.20) 0.45	0.45	0.651

aRR adjusted relative risk ratio, CI confidence interval

\* Optimal adherence was defined as not missing any ART doses in the past 7 days. Sub-optimal adherence was defined as missing 1 or more ART doses in the past 7 days.

 $^{a}$ Associated test statistic for p-Value is z-score.