

# AIDS Care



Psychological and Socio-medical Aspects of AIDS/HIV

ISSN: 0954-0121 (Print) 1360-0451 (Online) Journal homepage: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/caic20

# Phosphatidylethanol confirmed alcohol use among ART-naïve HIV-infected persons who denied consumption in rural Uganda

Winnie R. Muyindike, Christine Lloyd-Travaglini, Robin Fatch, Nneka I. Emenyonu, Julian Adong, Christine Ngabirano, Debbie M. Cheng, Michael R. Winter, Jeffrey H. Samet & Judith A. Hahn

To cite this article: Winnie R. Muyindike, Christine Lloyd-Travaglini, Robin Fatch, Nneka I. Emenyonu, Julian Adong, Christine Ngabirano, Debbie M. Cheng, Michael R. Winter, Jeffrey H. Samet & Judith A. Hahn (2017): Phosphatidylethanol confirmed alcohol use among ART-naïve HIV-infected persons who denied consumption in rural Uganda, AIDS Care, DOI: 10.1080/09540121.2017.1290209

To link to this article: <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09540121.2017.1290209">http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09540121.2017.1290209</a>

|           | Published online: 13 Feb 2017.                           |
|-----------|--|
|           | Submit your article to this journal $oldsymbol{arGamma}$ |
| ılıl      | Article views: 47  |
| a<br>a    | View related articles 🗗                                  |
| CrossMark | View Crossmark data ☑                                    |

Full Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at http://www.tandfonline.com/action/journalInformation?journalCode=caic20



# Phosphatidylethanol confirmed alcohol use among ART-naïve HIV-infected persons who denied consumption in rural Uganda

Winnie R. Muyindike<sup>a,b</sup>, Christine Lloyd-Travaglini<sup>c</sup>, Robin Fatch<sup>d</sup>, Nneka I. Emenyonu<sup>d</sup>, Julian Adong<sup>a</sup>, Christine Ngabirano<sup>e</sup>, Debbie M. Cheng<sup>f</sup>, Michael R. Winter<sup>c</sup>, Jeffrey H. Samet<sup>g</sup> and Judith A. Hahn<sup>d,h</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Faculty of Medicine, Mbarara University of Science and Technology, Mbarara, Uganda; <sup>b</sup>Department of Medicine, Mbarara Regional Referral Hospital, Mbarara, Uganda; <sup>c</sup>Data Coordinating Center, Boston University School of Public Health, Boston, USA; <sup>d</sup>Department of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, USA; <sup>e</sup>MUST Grants Office, Mbarara University of Science and Technology, Mbarara, Uganda; <sup>f</sup>Department of Biostatistics, Boston University School of Public Health, Boston, USA; <sup>g</sup>Section of General Internal Medicine, Boston University Schools of Medicine and Public Health, Boston Medical Center, Boston, USA; <sup>h</sup>Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of California, San Francisco, USA

#### **ABSTRACT**

Under-reporting of alcohol use by HIV-infected patients could adversely impact clinical care. This study examined factors associated with under-reporting of alcohol consumption by patients who denied alcohol use in clinical and research settings using an alcohol biomarker. We enrolled ARTnaïve, HIV-infected adults at Mbarara Hospital HIV clinic in Uganda. We conducted baseline interviews on alcohol use, demographics, Spirituality and Religiosity Index (SRI), health and functional status; and tested for breath alcohol content and collected blood for phosphatidylethanol (PEth), a sensitive and specific biomarker of alcohol use. We determined PEth status among participants who denied alcohol consumption to clinic counselors (Group 1, n = 104), and those who denied alcohol use on their research interview (Group 2, n = 198). A positive PEth was defined as ≥8 ng/ml. Multiple logistic regression models were used to examine whether testing PEth-positive varied by demographics, literacy, spirituality, socially desirable reporting and physical health status. Results showed that, among the 104 participants in Group 1, 28.8% were PEth-positive. The odds of being PEth-positive were higher for those reporting prior unhealthy drinking (adjusted odds ratio (AOR): 4.7, 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.8, 12.5). No other factors were statistically significant. Among the 198 participants in Group 2, 13.1% were PEth-positive. The odds of being PEth-positive were higher for those reporting past unhealthy drinking (AOR: 4.6, 95% CI: 1.8, 12.2), the Catholics (AOR: 3.8, 95% CI: 1.3, 11.0) compared to Protestants and lower for the literate participants (AOR: 0.3, 95% Cl: 0.1, 0.8). We concluded that under-reporting of alcohol use to HIV clinic staff was substantial, but it was lower in a research setting that conducted testing for breath alcohol and PEth. A report of past unhealthy drinking may highlight current alcohol use among deniers. Strategies to improve alcohol self-report are needed within HIV care settings in Uganda.

#### ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 26 May 2016 Accepted 23 January 2017

#### KEYWORDS

Alcohol consumption; HIV; under-report; phosphatidylethanol; Uganda

# Introduction

Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) has high HIV prevalence (Heil, Townsend, Shipp, Clarke, & Johnson, 2010) and levels of harmful alcohol use (Global Status Report on Alcohol and Health, 2014), especially among persons with HIV (Pithey & Parry, 2009). Alcohol use is associated with HIV transmission and co-morbidities, and complicates HIV care (Williams et al., 2016). Thus, alcohol use is a major factor in the HIV epidemic in SSA.

In Uganda, the per capita yearly pure alcohol consumption is high, reported as 25.6 and 19.6 liters among male and female drinkers respectively

compared to 18.1 and 7.8 liters respectively in the USA (Global Status Report on Alcohol and Health, 2014). Supporting HIV-infected clients to reduce alcohol use may be feasible for those interacting with health workers (Morojele, Nkosi, Kekwaletswe, Saban, & Parry, 2013). However, while studies have noted under-reporting of alcohol use by research participants (Bajunirwe et al., 2014; Hahn et al., 2012), underreporting in HIV clinical settings has not yet been examined.

Hence, we examined the occurrence and correlates of under-reporting among ART-naïve HIV-infected patients who denied alcohol consumption in the settings of clinical care and research assessments. We examined these settings separately, due to differences in procedures of evaluating alcohol use and differing consequences of under-report. Under-reporting was determined using phosphatidylethanol (PEth), a sensitive and specific biomarker for alcohol use in the previous 2–3 weeks (Hahn, Anton, & Javors, 2016).

#### **Methods**

# Study site, participants and study design

This was an analysis of the baseline cross-sectional data collected for a prospective Ugandan cohort of the Uganda Russia Boston Alcohol Network for Alcohol Research Collaboration on HIV/AIDS (URBAN ARCH). The study enrolled ART-naïve HIV-infected adults above 18 years attending the HIV clinic in Mbarara Regional Referral Hospital in southwestern Uganda from September 2011 through August 2014. The primary aim of the cohort was to examine the impact of unhealthy alcohol consumption on HIV disease progression prior to ART. The study enrolled participants whose recent CD4 cell count was above 350 or 500 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>, the latter cutoff was used after February 2014, when the clinic adopted the addendum to the National ART guidelines, Uganda (Uganda Ministry of Health: Addendum to the National Antiretroviral Treatment Guidelines, 2013).

At the HIV clinic where the study occurred, alcohol use was routinely assessed by counselors via the 3-item Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test – Consumption (AUDIT-C) (Bush, Kivlahan, McDonell, Fihn, & Bradley, 1998), or the 10-item AUDIT (Babor, Higgins-Biddle, Saunders, & Monteiro, 2001), beginning in June 2013. This information was extracted from the clinic electronic medical records for this analysis.

To examine under-reporting of alcohol use to clinic counselors, we examined patients who denied alcohol consumption in the previous year (AUDIT/AUDIT-C question 1) to clinic counselors at their initial clinic visit (Group 1). We limited this group to participants who completed their baseline research interview within 3 months of clinic entry, to ensure comparability of the data collected at clinic entry and study entry (n = 104). To examine under-reporting during the research interview, we examined participants who reported no current (previous 3 months) alcohol consumption at their baseline research visit (Group 2; n = 198).

The study protocols were approved by the National and Institutional Review Boards in Uganda, the University of California, San Francisco (UCSF), and Boston Medical Center. The participants gave written consent to participate in the study and were compensated for their transportation expenses. They were informed that their blood would be tested for a biomarker that indicates alcohol use in the previous 2–3 weeks and that their answers to survey questions would be kept confidential.

# Laboratory testing

Venous blood samples were transferred to Whatman 903 cards and stored at -80°C until shipping to the United States Drug Testing Laboratory for liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry testing for PEth, an abnormal phospholipid formed only in the presence of alcohol (Jones, Jones, Plate, & Lewis, 2011). We also tested for CD4+ T-cell count using a Coulter Epics XL.MCL Cytometer and HIV-1 viral load (Abbott).

#### **Variables**

The outcome variable was under-reporting, defined as PEth  $\geq$ 8 ng/ml.

The independent variables examined were sex, age, literacy, religion, the Spirituality and Religiosity Index (SRI) (Ironson et al., 2002), the Marlowe-Crowne Social Desirability Scale (SDS) (Reynolds, 1982), general health status, the Physical Functioning Scale (PFS) (Wu, 1999), HIV diagnosis date and HIV symptom index adapted from Justice et al. (2001). Past unhealthy alcohol use was self-reported by the total AUDIT-C score for the period in the past during which the participants consumed most alcohol. Scores above the cutoff ( $\geq 3$  for women,  $\geq 4$  for men) indicated past unhealthy drinking (Bradley et al., 2007).

### Statistical analysis

We calculated frequencies and proportions for categorical variables, and medians, interquartile ranges (IQR), means, and standard deviations (SD) for continuous variables.

Initial bivariate analyses were conducted assessing the association between each factor of interest and underreporting. Multivariable logistic regression models were then fit including those variables with p < 0.10 in bivariate analysis. Two-sided tests were used and p-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

# Results

447 participants completed baseline interviews. Two-thirds (303/447, 68.0%) were female and the participants' median age was 32 years (IQR: 27.0–40.0).

# **Under-reporting of alcohol consumption to clinic** counselors

104 participants reported no alcohol consumption in the previous year at their initial visit to the clinic counselors (Group 1). Of these, 30 (28.8%; 95% CI: 20.0%-38.0%) were PEth positive, termed under-reporters (Table 1). The median PEth level among the under-reporters was 46.5 ng/ml (IQR: 14.2-397.0).

In unadjusted analyses, under-reporting was associated with SRI score and self-reported past unhealthy drinking; only self-reported past unhealthy drinking remained significantly associated with under-reporting in adjusted analyses (AOR: 4.7; 95% CI: 1.8-12.5).

# Under-reporting of alcohol consumption on the research interview

198 participants were self-reported abstainers (previous 3 months) at the baseline research interview (Group 2); 26 (13.1%; 95% CI: 8.0%–18.0%) of these were PEth-positive and considered under-reporters (Table 2). The median PEth level among the under-reporters at research interview was 57.6 ng/ml (IQR: 13.5-625.0). In unadjusted analyses, male gender, literacy, religion, and self-reported past unhealthy drinking were associated with underreporting; the associations between each of these variables with under-reporting remained statistically significant in adjusted analyses, except for gender.

**Table 1.** Baseline PEth results among participants reporting No alcohol consumption in previous year at Initial Clinic Visit  $^{\rm b}$  (n=104).

|                                    |                              |                     | PEth result                          |                        |                           |                         |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| Variable                           | Response                     | Overall N (%)       | Positive (>=8) <sup>c</sup><br>N (%) | Negative (<8)<br>N (%) | Unadjusted OR<br>(95% CI) | Adjusted OR<br>(95% CI) |
| Overall                            |                              | 104 (100%)          | 30 (28.8%)                           | 74 (71.2%)             |                           |                         |
| Prior unhealthy drinking           | Hazardous drinking           | 38 (36.9%)          | 19 (63.3%)                           | 19 (26.0%)             | 4.91 (1.98, 12.17)*       | 4.72 (1.79, 12.46)*     |
| (N = 103)                          | Non-hazardous<br>drinking    | 65 (63.1%)          | 11 (36.7%)                           | 54 (74.0%)             | - Ref -                   | - Ref -                 |
| Age                                | Highest tertile              | 20 (19.2%)          | 7 (23.3%)                            | 13 (17.6%)             | 1.57 (0.51, 4.86)         |                         |
| _                                  | Middle tertile               | 37 (35.6%)          | 11 (36.7%)                           | 26 (35.1%)             | 1.23 (0.47, 3.23)         |                         |
|                                    | Lowest tertile               | 47 (45.2%)          | 12 (40.0%)                           | 35 (47.3%)             | - Ref -                   |                         |
| Sex                                | Male                         | 21 (20.2%)          | 9 (30.0%)                            | 12 (16.2%)             | 2.22 (0.82, 6.00)         |                         |
|                                    | Female                       | 83 (79.8%)          | 21 (70.0%)                           | 62 (83.8%)             | - Ref -                   |                         |
| Literacy                           | Literate                     | 70 (67.3%)          | 19 (63.3%)                           | 51 (68.9%)             | 0.78 (0.32, 1.90)         |                         |
| •                                  | Not literate                 | 34 (32.7%)          | 11 (36.7%)                           | 23 (31.1%)             | - Ref -                   |                         |
| Religion                           | Catholic                     | 34 (32.7%)          | 15 (50.0%)                           | 19 (25.7%)             | 2.50 (0.98, 6.39)**       | 2.23 (0.79, 6.32)       |
| 3                                  | Moslem                       | 10 (9.6%)           | 2 (6.7%)                             | 8 (10.8%)              | 0.79 (0.15, 4.25)         | 1.07 (0.18, 6.30)       |
|                                    | Saved/Other                  | 10 (9.6%)           | 1 (3.3%)                             | 9 (12.2%)              | 0.35 (0.04, 3.07)         | 0.42 (0.04, 4.25)       |
|                                    | Protestant/Anglican          | 50 (48.1%)          | 12 (40.0%)                           | 38 (51.4%)             | - Ref -                   | - Ref -                 |
| Spirituality religiosity           | N                            | 103                 | 29                                   | 74                     | 0.95 (0.91, 1.00)*        | 0.96 (0.91, 1.01)       |
| index (SRI)                        | Mean (Std Dev)               | 99.3 (9.5)          | 96.1 (10.3)                          | 100.6 (8.9)            | 0.55 (0.5.1) 1.00)        | 0.50 (0.5.)             |
|                                    | Median (25th, 75th)          | , ,                 | 93.0 (88.0, 107.0)                   | , ,                    |                           |                         |
| Social desirability scale (SDS)    | N                            | 102                 | 30                                   | 72                     | 1.01 (0.79, 1.30)         |                         |
| botian desirability state (555)    | Mean (Std Dev)               | 8.9 (1.7)           | 8.9 (1.9)                            | 8.9 (1.7)              | (0., 2)                   |                         |
|                                    | Median (25th, 75th)          | 9.0 (8.0, 10.0)     | 9.0 (8.0, 10.0)                      | 9.0 (8.0, 10.0)        |                           |                         |
| Number of HIV symptoms             | N                            | 104                 | 30                                   | 74                     | 1.30 (0.90, 1.87)         |                         |
| ramber of the symptoms             | Mean (Std Dev)               | 1.2 (1.1)           | 1.4 (1.4)                            | 1.1 (1.0)              | 1.50 (0.50) 1.07)         |                         |
|                                    | Median (25th, 75th)          | 1.0 (0.0, 2.0)      | 1.0 (0.0, 2.0)                       | 1.0 (0.0, 2.0)         |                           |                         |
| MOS-HIV physical functioning scale | N                            | 98                  | 28                                   | 70                     | 0.99 (0.97, 1.01)         |                         |
| (PFS)                              | Mean (Std Dev)               | 90.3 (18.1)         | 87.5 (22.3)                          | 91.4 (16.2)            | 0.55 (0.57, 1.01)         |                         |
| (113)                              | Median (25th, 75th)          | 100.0 (83.3, 100.0) | 100.0 (83.3,<br>100.0)               | 100.0 (83.3, 100.0)    |                           |                         |
| Health Status                      | Fair/Poor                    | 26 (25.0%)          | 10 (33.3%)                           | 16 (21.6%)             | 1.81 (0.71, 4.64)         |                         |
| Treater States                     | Good/Very Good/<br>Excellent | 78 (75.0%)          | 20 (66.7%)                           | 58 (78.4%)             | - Ref -                   |                         |
| Days since first HIV diagnosis     | N                            | 104                 | 30                                   | 74                     | 1.00 (1.00, 1.00)         |                         |
| bays since mist my diagnosis       | Mean (Std Dev)               | 260.8 (528.4)       | 165.4 (395.5)                        | 299.5 (571.4)          | 1.00 (1.00) 1.00)         |                         |
|                                    | Median (25th, 75th)          | 61.0 (28.0, 213.0)  | 30.0 (26.0, 98.0)                    | 74.5 (31.0, 353.0)     |                           |                         |
| CD4 cell count                     | N                            | 104                 | 30.0 (20.0, 50.0)                    | 74.5 (51.0, 555.0)     | 1.00 (1.00, 1.00)         |                         |
| CD4 cell count                     | Mean (Std Dev)               | 557.7 (223.2)       | 552.0 (183.3)                        | 560.0 (238.5)          | 1.00 (1.00, 1.00)         |                         |
|                                    | Median (25th, 75th)          | 508.0 (383.0,       | 514.0 (411.0,                        | 498.0 (379.0,          |                           |                         |
|                                    | Mcdidii (25tii, 75tii)       | 724.0)              | 685.0)                               | 737.0)                 |                           |                         |
| Log10 viral load <sup>a</sup>      | N                            | 104                 | 30                                   | 737.0)                 | 0.95 (0.62, 1.48)         |                         |
| Log 10 vital load                  | Mean (Std Dev)               | 3.9 (1.0)           | 3.8 (1.1)                            | 3.9 (0.9)              | 0.73 (0.02, 1.40)         |                         |
|                                    | Median (25th, 75th)          | 4.1 (3.3, 4.5)      | 3.7 (3.1, 4.9)                       | 4.1 (3.4, 4.5)         |                           |                         |
|                                    | Miculaii (25tii, 75tii)      | 4.1 (3.3, 4.3)      | J./ (J.1, 4.9)                       | 7.1 (3.4, 4.3)         |                           |                         |

Note: (SDS) (alpha in our data = 0.49), (SRI) (alpha in our data = 0.95).

aVariable was not included in final multivariable model because at time of research, VL testing was not a routinely conducted test so not likely to be a feasible way to detect under-report of alcohol consumption.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Participants who completed Baseline within 3 months of clinic visit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>For the Participants who tested PEth-positive: N = 30, median PEth 46.48 (IQR 14.16–397.00), Mean PEth 247.16 (SD = 379.04).

<sup>\*</sup>P < 0.05

<sup>\*\*</sup>P < 0.10.

**Table 2.** Peth status among those reporting No alcohol consumption (Abstainers) in previous 3 months at Research baseline (n = 198).

| Variable         Response         Overall N (%)         Positive (~8)         N (%)         Unadjusted OR (95% CI)         Adjusted OR (95% CI)         Adjusted OR         (95% CI)         Adjusted OR         (95% CI)         Adjusted OR         (95% CI)         Adjusted OR         Adjusted OR         Adjusted OR         Adjusted OR <th></th> <th></th> <th rowspan="2">Overall N (%)</th> <th colspan="2">PETH result</th> <th></th> <th></th>   |   |                     | Overall N (%)  | PETH result    |                |                     |                     |
|--|---|---------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Prior unhealthy drinking   Hazardous drinking   Non-hazardous drinking   Non-hazardous   Non   | Variable                                | Response            |                |                |                | •                   |                     |
| Prior unhealthy drinking   Hazardous drinking   Non-hazardous drinking   Non-hazardous   Non   | Overall                                 | •                   | 198 (100%)     | 26 (13.1%)     | 172 (86.9%)    |                     |                     |
| Non-hazardous   139 (70.9%)   10 (38.5%)   129 (75.9%)   1.68 (7   |   | Hazardous drinking  | , ,            |                | , ,            | 5.03 (2.12, 11.95)* | 4.62 (1.75, 12.18)* |
| Age         Highest tertile         77 (38.9%)         12 (46.2%)         65 (37.8%)         1.63 (0.57, 46.4)         1.50 (0.74, 46.4)           Middle tertile         62 (31.3%)         8 (30.9%)         54 (31.4%)         1.31 (0.43, 4.3)         1.50 (0.57, 46.4)         1.50 (0.57, 46.4)         1.50 (0.57, 46.4)         1.50 (0.57, 46.4)         1.50 (0.57, 46.4)         1.50 (0.57, 46.4)         1.50 (0.57, 46.4)         1.50 (0.57, 46.4)         1.50 (0.57, 46.4)         1.50 (0.57, 46.4)         1.50 (0.57, 46.4)         1.50 (0.57, 46.4)         1.50 (0.57, 46.4)         1.50 (0.57, 46.4)         1.50 (0.57, 46.4)         1.50 (0.57, 46.4)         1.50 (0.57, 46.4)         1.50 (0.57, 46.4)         1.50 (0.57, 46.4)         1.50 (0.58, 46.4)         1.50 (0.58, 46.4)         1.50 (0.58, 46.4)         1.50 (0.58, 46.4)         1.50 (0.58, 46.4)         1.50 (0.58, 46.4)         1.50 (0.58, 46.4)         1.50 (0.58, 46.4)         1.50 (0.58, 46.4)         1.50 (0.58, 46.4)         1.50 (0.58, 46.4)         1.50 (0.58, 46.4)         1.50 (0.58, 46.4)         1.50 (0.58, 46.4)         1.50 (0.58, 46.4)         1.50 (0.58, 46.4)         1.50 (0.58, 46.4)         2.28 (0.58, 50.8)**         2.28 (0.58, 50.8)**         2.28 (0.58, 50.8)**         2.28 (0.58, 50.8)**         2.28 (0.58, 50.8)**         2.28 (0.58, 50.8)**         3.15 (1.38, 50.8)**         3.15 (1.38, 50.8)**         3.15 (1.38, 50.8)**         3.15 (1.38, 50.8)**         3.15 (1.38, 50.8)   |   | Non-hazardous       |                |                |                |                     |                     |
| Middle tertile   | Age                                     |                     | 77 (38.9%)     | 12 (46.2%)     | 65 (37.8%)     | 1.63 (0.57, 4.64)   |                     |
| Sex         Male         49 (2A.7%)         12 (46.2%)         37 (21.5%)         3.13 (1.33, 7.34)*         2.28 (0.85, 6.08)**           Female         149 (75.3%)         14 (53.8%)         135 (78.5%)         - Ref*  | 3                                       |                     |                | 8 (30.8%)      |                |                     |                     |
| Female   149 (75.3%)   14 (53.8%)   135 (78.5%)   0.46 (0.20, 1.06)**   0.29 (0.11, 0.76)*   |   | Lowest tertile      | 59 (29.8%)     | 6 (23.1%)      | 53 (30.8%)     | - Ref -             |                     |
| Female   149 (75.3%)   14 (53.8%)   135 (78.5%)   0.46 (0.20, 1.06)**   0.29 (0.11, 0.76)*   | Sex                                     | Male                | 49 (24.7%)     | 12 (46.2%)     | 37 (21.5%)     | 3.13 (1.33, 7.34)*  | 2.28 (0.85, 6.08)** |
| Literacy (N = 197)   |   | Female              | 149 (75.3%)    | 14 (53.8%)     |                |                     |                     |
| Not literate   | Literacy ( $N = 197$ )                  | Literate            |                |                |                | 0.46 (0.20, 1.06)** | 0.29 (0.11, 0.76)*  |
| Religion         Catholic         \$2 (26.3%)         14 (53.8%)         38 (22.1%)         4.26 (1.59, 11.42)*         3.75 (1.28, 10.97)*           Moslen         35 (17.7%)         4 (15.4%)         31 (18.0%)         1.49 (0.41, 5.46)         1.51 (0.37, 6.23)           Spirituality religiosity index (SRI)         Protestant/Anglican         88 (44.4%)         7 (26.9%)         81 (47.1%)         - Ref -         - Ref - <th< td=""><td>, ,</td><td>Not literate</td><td>67 (34.0%)</td><td></td><td></td><td>- Ref -</td><td>- Ref -</td></th<>  | , ,                                     | Not literate        | 67 (34.0%)     |                |                | - Ref -             | - Ref -             |
| Moslem   S5 (17.7%)   4 (15.4%)   31 (18.0%)   1.49 (0.41, 5.4%)   1.51 (0.37, 6.23)   5.30 (0.47, 5.4%)   5.40 (0.37, 6.23)   5.30 (0.47, 5.4%)   5.40 (0.37, 6.23)   5.30 (0.48, 6.25)   5.40 (0.37, 6.23)   | Religion                                | Catholic            |                |                |                | 4.26 (1.59, 11.42)* | 3.75 (1.28, 10.97)* |
| Saved/Other  | 3                                       |                     |                |                |                |                     |                     |
| Protestant/Anglican    |   |                     | , ,            | , ,            | , ,            | , , ,               |                     |
| Spirituality religiosity index (SRI)         N Mean (Std Dev) Median (25th, 75th)         196 (103.5 (91.0) (10.0)         25.0 (10.0) (10.0)         17.1 (10.1) (9.7) (10.0)         0.99 (0.96, 1.02)           Social desirability scale (SDS)         N Median (25th, 75th)         103.5 (91.0) (108.0)         109.0            |   |                     | , ,            | , ,            |                |                     |                     |
| Mean (Std Dev)   100.0 (11.0)   98.8 (17.7)   100.1 (9.7)   100.1 (9.7)   100.1 (9.7)   100.0 (91.0   108.0)    | Spirituality religiosity index (SRI)    | •                   |                |                |                | 0.99 (0.96, 1.02)   |                     |
| Social desirability scale (SDS)         Median (25th, 75th) 108.0)         103.0 (91.0, 108.0)         103.0 (91.0, 108.0)           Social desirability scale (SDS)         N 195 (26 (26 (26 (26 (26 (26 (26 (26 (26 (26   | , |                     |                |                |                | (,,                 |                     |
| Social desirability scale (SDS)         N         108.0)         108.0)         108.0)         108.0)           Social desirability scale (SDS)         N         195         26         169         1.01 (0.79, 1.28)           Mean (Std Dev)         9.1 (1.7)         9.0 (8.0, 10.0)         9.0 (8.0, 11.0)         9.0 (8.0, 10.0)           Number of HIV symptoms         N         198         26         172         0.89 (0.61, 1.30)           Median (Std Dev)         1.1 (1.2)         1.0 (0.0, 2.0)         1.0 (0.0, 2.0)         1.0 (0.0, 2.0)           MOS-HIV physical functioning scale (PFS)         N         189         25         164         0.99 (0.97, 1.01)           Scale (PFS)         Mean (Std Dev)         89.9 (18.3)         86.7 (24.7)         90.4 (17.2)         90.4 (17.2)           Median (25th, 75th)         100.0         83.3         100.0 (91.7,         100.0 (83.3,         100.0)           Health status         Fair/Poor         50 (25.3%)         6 (23.1%)         44 (25.6%)         0.87 (0.33, 2.31)           Days since first HIV diagnosis         N         198         26         172         1.00 (1.00, 1.00)           Mean (Std Dev)         1269.1 (1495.8)         1199.0 (1617.7)         1279.7 (1481.3)         1279.7 (1481.3)  |   | , ,                 | , ,            | , ,            | , ,            |                     |                     |
| Social desirability scale (SDS)         Near (Std Dev) (Pinch 100)         195 (Sid Dev) (Pinch 100)         26 (Sid Dev) (Pinch 100)         1 (1.7)         1.01 (0.79, 1.28)           Number of HIV symptoms         N (Pinch 100)         198 (Sid Dev) (Pinch 100)         1.0 (1.1)         1.1 (1.2)         0.89 (0.61, 1.30)           MOS-HIV physical functioning scale (PFS)         N (PFS)         1.0 (0.0, 2.0)         1.0 (0.0, 1.0)         1.0 (0.0, 2.0)         1.0 (0.0, 1.0)         0.99 (0.97, 1.01)           Mos-HIV physical functioning scale (PFS)         Mean (Std Dev) (Median (25th, 75th) (PFS)         189 (1.3)         86.7 (24.7) (24.7)         90.4 (17.2)         0.99 (0.97, 1.01)           Median (25th, 75th) (Median (25th, 75th) (PFS)         100.0 (83.3) (100.0)         100.0 (91.7, 100.0)         100.0 (83.3, 100.0)         0.87 (0.33, 2.31)           Health status         Fair/Poor (Pood) (FFS) (148, 100) (100) (100, 100)         100.0 (100, 100)         0.00         0.87 (0.33, 2.31)           Days since first HIV diagnosis         Fair/Poor (Pood) (1495.8) (1495.8) (1995.4) (1495.8) (1495.4) (1495.8) (1495.4) (1495.8) (1495.4) (1495.4) (1495.4) (1495.4) (1495.4) (1495.4) (1495.4) (1495  |   |                     |                |                |                |                     |                     |
| Mean (Std Dev)   | Social desirability scale (SDS)         | N                   |                |                |                | 1.01 (0.79, 1.28)   |                     |
| Number of HIV symptoms  Neglian (25th, 75th) 9.0 (8.0, 10.0) 9.0 (8.0, 11.0) 9.0 (8.0, 10.0)  Number of HIV symptoms  N 198 26 172 0.89 (0.61, 1.30)  Median (25th, 75th) 1.0 (0.0, 2.0) 1.0 (0.1, 1) 1.1 (1.2)  Median (25th, 75th) 1.0 (0.0, 2.0) 1.0 (0.0, 1.0) 1.0 (0.0, 2.0)  MOS-HIV physical functioning Scale (PFS)  Mean (Std Dev) 89.9 (18.3) 86.7 (24.7) 90.4 (17.2)  Median (25th, 75th) 100.0 (83.3, 100.0) 100.0) 100.0)  Health status  Fair/Poor 50 (25.3%) 6 (23.1%) 44 (25.6%) 0.87 (0.33, 2.31)  Good/Very Good/ 148 (74.7%) 20 (76.9%) 128 (74.4%) - Ref -  Excellent  Days since first HIV diagnosis  N 198 26 172 1.00 (1.00, 1.00)  Median (25th, 75th) 623.5 (83.0, 259.0 (41.0, 695.5 (92.5, 232.0) 2332.0) 2317.0)  CD4 cell count  N 198 26 172 1.00 (1.00, 1.00)  Median (25th, 75th) 566.0 (420.0, 587.5 (368.0, 555.5 (420.5, 720.0) 4685.0) 725.5)  Log10 viral load <sup>a</sup> N 197 26 171 1.80 (1.16, 2.79)*  Mean (Std Dev) 3.6 (1.1) 4.2 (1.2) 3.6 (1.1)   | social desirability scale (555)         |                     |                |                |                | (0.75)20)           |                     |
| Number of HIV symptoms     Mean (Std Dev)     Median (25th, 75th)     Nos-HIV physical functioning scale (PFS)     Median (Std Dev)     Scale (PFS)     Mean (Std Dev)     Mos-HIV physical functioning scale (PFS)     Mean (Std Dev)     Median (25th, 75th)     Median (25th, 75th)     Nob (83.3, 100.0 (91.7, 100.0) 100.0)     Mean (Std Dev)     Nob (82.3)     Nob (82.4)     Nob (82.3)  |   | , ,                 |                |                |                |                     |                     |
| Mean (Std Dev)       1.1 (1.2)       1.0 (1.1)       1.1 (1.2)       1.0 (0.0, 2.0)       1.0 (0.0, 2.0)         MOS-HIV physical functioning scale (PFS)       N       189       25       164       0.99 (0.97, 1.01)         Median (25th, 75th)       100.0 (83.3)       100.0 (91.7)       100.0 (83.3)       100.0)         Health status       Fair/Poor Good/ Pood/ Pood/ Excellent       50 (25.3%)       6 (23.1%)       44 (25.6%)       0.87 (0.33, 2.31)         Days since first HIV diagnosis       N       198       26       172       1.00 (1.00, 1.00)         Mean (Std Dev)       1269.1 (1495.8)       1199.0 (1617.7)       1279.7 (1481.3)       1.00 (1.00, 1.00)         Median (25th, 75th)       623.5 (83.0)       259.0 (41.0)       695.5 (92.5)       1.00 (1.00, 1.00)         CD4 cell count       N       198       26       172       1.00 (1.00, 1.00)         Mean (Std Dev)       591.3 (224.0)       550.0)       2317.0)       1.00 (1.00, 1.00)         CD4 cell count       N       198       26       172       1.00 (1.00, 1.00)         Median (25th, 75th)       620.6 (420.0)       587.5 (368.0)       555.5 (420.5)       555.5 (420.5)         Median (25th, 75th)       566.0 (420.0)       587.5 (368.0)       555.5 (420.5)       1.00  | Number of HIV symptoms                  |                     |                |                |                | 0.89 (0.61, 1.30)   |                     |
| MOS-HIV physical functioning scale (PFS)  Mean (Std Dev)  Median (25th, 75th) 1.0 (0.0, 2.0)  Median (25th, 75th) 1.0 (0.0, 2.0)  Mean (Std Dev)  Median (25th, 75th) 100.0 (83.3, 100.0 (91.7) 100.0 (91.7) 100.0 (93.3, 100.0 (93.3, 100.0) 100.0)  Health status  Fair/Poor Good/Very Good/ Excellent  Days since first HIV diagnosis  N 198 26 172 1.00 (1.00, 1.00) 1.00 (1.00, | Tumber of the symptoms                  |                     |                |                |                | 0.05 (0.0.)50)      |                     |
| MOS-HIV physical functioning scale (PFS)         N         189         25         164         0.99 (0.97, 1.01)           Scale (PFS)         Mean (Std Dev)         89.9 (18.3)         86.7 (24.7)         90.4 (17.2)         90.4 (17.2)           Median (25th, 75th)         100.0 (83.3, 100.0 (91.7, 100.0)         100.0)         100.0)         100.0)         100.0)           Health status         Fair/Poor Good/ Good/Very Good/ Excellent         148 (74.7%)         20 (76.9%)         128 (74.4%)         - Ref -           Days since first HIV diagnosis         N         198         26         172         1.00 (1.00, 1.00)           Mean (Std Dev)         1269.1 (1495.8)         1199.0 (1617.7)         1279.7 (1481.3)         100 (1.00, 1.00)           Median (25th, 75th)         623.5 (83.0, 259.0 (41.0, 695.5 (92.5, 231.0)         2317.0)         1.00 (1.00, 1.00)           CD4 cell count         N         198         26         172         1.00 (1.00, 1.00)           Mean (Std Dev)         591.3 (224.0)         550.0 (41.0, 596.6 (323.2)         59.5 (92.5, 250.5)         1.00 (1.00, 1.00)           Median (25th, 75th)         566.0 (420.0, 587.5 (368.0, 555.5 (420.5, 725.5)         555.5 (420.5, 555.5 (420.5, 725.5)         1.80 (1.16, 2.79)*           Log10 viral load <sup>a</sup> N         197         26  |   |                     |                |                | , ,            |                     |                     |
| Scale (PFS)         Mean (Std Dev)         89.9 (18.3)         86.7 (24.7)         90.4 (17.2)           Health status         Median (25th, 75th)         100.0 (83.3, 100.0 (91.7, 100.0)         100.0)         100.0)         100.0)           Health status         Fair/Poor         50 (25.3%)         6 (23.1%)         44 (25.6%)         0.87 (0.33, 2.31)           Good/Very Good/ Excellent         148 (74.7%)         20 (76.9%)         128 (74.4%)         - Ref -           Days since first HIV diagnosis         N         198         26         172         1.00 (1.00, 1.00)           Mean (Std Dev)         1269.1 (1495.8)         1199.0 (1617.7)         1279.7 (1481.3)         1279.7 (1481.3)           Median (25th, 75th)         623.5 (83.0, 259.0 (41.0, 695.5 (92.5, 231.0)         2317.0)         10.00 (1.00, 1.00)           CD4 cell count         N         198         26         172         1.00 (1.00, 1.00)           Mean (Std Dev)         591.3 (224.0)         556.1 (158.4)         596.6 (232.2)         1.00 (1.00, 1.00)           Median (25th, 75th)         566.0 (420.0, 570.0)         587.5 (368.0, 555.5 (420.5, 755.5)         1.00 (1.00, 1.00)           Log10 viral load <sup>a</sup> N         197         26         171         1.80 (1.16, 2.79)*           Median (Std Dev) <td< td=""><td>MOS-HIV physical functioning</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.99 (0.97 1.01)</td><td></td></td<>   | MOS-HIV physical functioning            |                     |                |                |                | 0.99 (0.97 1.01)    |                     |
| Health status       Median (25th, 75th)       100.0 (83.3, 100.0)       100.0       100.0)       100.0       <  |   |                     |                |                |                | 0.55 (0.57)         |                     |
| Health status  Fair/Poor 50 (25.3%) 6 (23.1%) 44 (25.6%) 0.87 (0.33, 2.31)  Good/Very Good/ Excellent  Days since first HIV diagnosis  N 198 26 172 1.00 (1.00, 1.00)  Median (25th, 75th) 623.5 (83.0, 259.0 (41.0, 695.5 (92.5, 2322.0)  CD4 cell count  N 198 26 172 1.00 (1.00, 1.00)  Mean (Std Dev) 591.3 (224.0) 556.1 (158.4) 596.6 (232.2)  Median (25th, 75th) 566.0 (420.0, 587.5 (368.0, 555.5 (420.5, 720.0)  Median (25th, 75th) 566.0 (420.0, 685.0) 725.5)  Log10 viral load <sup>a</sup> N 197 26 171 1.80 (1.16, 2.79)*  Mean (Std Dev) 3.6 (1.1)  Mean (Std Dev) 3.6 (1.1)  | scare (1.1.3)                           | , ,                 | , ,            | , ,            | , ,            |                     |                     |
| Health status       Fair/Poor Good/Very Good/ Good/Very Good/ Excellent       50 (25.3%)       6 (23.1%)       44 (25.6%)       0.87 (0.33, 2.31)         Days since first HIV diagnosis       N       198       26       172       1.00 (1.00, 1.00)         Median (25th, 75th)       623.5 (83.0, 232.0)       259.0 (41.0, 695.5 (92.5, 2322.0)       2317.0)         CD4 cell count       N       198       26       172       1.00 (1.00, 1.00)         Mean (Std Dev)       591.3 (224.0)       556.1 (158.4)       596.6 (232.2)       1.00 (1.00, 1.00)         Median (25th, 75th)       566.0 (420.0, 587.5 (368.0, 555.5 (420.5, 720.0)       585.5 (420.5, 725.5)       1.80 (1.16, 2.79)*         Log10 viral load <sup>a</sup> N       197       26       171       1.80 (1.16, 2.79)*         Mean (Std Dev)       3.6 (1.1)       4.2 (1.2)       3.6 (1.1)       1.80 (1.16, 2.79)*  |   | Median (25th, 75th) |                |                |                |                     |                     |
| CD4 cell count   N   198   26   172   1.00 (1.00, 1.00)   1.00 (   | Health status                           | Fair/Poor           | ,              | ,              | ,              | 0.87 (0.33, 2.31)   |                     |
| Excellent  Days since first HIV diagnosis  N 198 26 172 1.00 (1.00, 1.00) Mean (Std Dev) 1269.1 (1495.8) 1199.0 (1617.7) 259.0 (41.0, 695.5 (92.5, 2322.0) 2530.0) 2317.0)  CD4 cell count  N 198 26 172 1.00 (1.00, 1.00) Mean (Std Dev) 591.3 (224.0) 556.1 (158.4) Median (25th, 75th) 566.0 (420.0, 587.5 (368.0, 555.5 (420.5, 720.0) 685.0) 725.5)  Log10 viral load <sup>a</sup> N 197 26 1180 (1.16, 2.79)* Mean (Std Dev) 3.6 (1.1)  N 198 26 171 1.80 (1.16, 2.79)*  | Treatm status                           |                     |                |                |                |                     |                     |
| Days since first HIV diagnosis       N       198       26       172       1.00 (1.00, 1.00)         Mean (Std Dev)       1269.1 (1495.8)       1199.0 (1617.7)       1279.7 (1481.3)       1279.7 (1481.3)         Median (25th, 75th)       623.5 (83.0, 259.0 (41.0, 2530.0)       2317.0)       2317.0)         CD4 cell count       N       198       26       172       1.00 (1.00, 1.00)         Mean (Std Dev)       591.3 (224.0)       556.1 (158.4)       596.6 (232.2)       596.6 (232.2)         Median (25th, 75th)       566.0 (420.0, 500)       587.5 (368.0, 555.5 (420.5, 725.5)       555.5 (420.5, 725.5)         Log10 viral load <sup>a</sup> N       197       26       171       1.80 (1.16, 2.79)*         Mean (Std Dev)       3.6 (1.1)       4.2 (1.2)       3.6 (1.1)  |   |                     | 110 (71.770)   | 20 (70.570)    | 120 (7 1.170)  | nei                 |                     |
| Mean (Std Dev) 1269.1 (1495.8) 1199.0 (1617.7) 1279.7 (1481.3) Median (25th, 75th) 623.5 (83.0, 259.0 (41.0, 695.5 (92.5, 2322.0) 2530.0) 2317.0)  CD4 cell count N 198 26 172 1.00 (1.00, 1.00) Mean (Std Dev) 591.3 (224.0) 556.1 (158.4) 596.6 (232.2) Median (25th, 75th) 566.0 (420.0, 587.5 (368.0, 555.5 (420.5, 720.0) 685.0) 725.5)  Log10 viral load <sup>a</sup> N 197 26 171 1.80 (1.16, 2.79)* Mean (Std Dev) 3.6 (1.1) 4.2 (1.2) 3.6 (1.1)   | Days since first HIV diagnosis          |                     | 198            | 26             | 172            | 1.00 (1.00, 1.00)   |                     |
| Median (25th, 75th) 623.5 (83.0, 259.0 (41.0, 695.5 (92.5, 2317.0) 2317.0)  CD4 cell count N 198 26 172 1.00 (1.00, 1.00)  Mean (Std Dev) 591.3 (224.0) 556.1 (158.4) 596.6 (232.2)  Median (25th, 75th) 566.0 (420.0, 587.5 (368.0, 555.5 (420.5, 720.0) 685.0) 725.5)  Log10 viral load N 197 26 171 1.80 (1.16, 2.79)*  Mean (Std Dev) 3.6 (1.1) 4.2 (1.2) 3.6 (1.1)  | Days since mist rint alagnosis          |                     |                |                |                | ()                  |                     |
| 2322.0) 2530.0) 2317.0)  CD4 cell count  N 198 26 172 1.00 (1.00, 1.00)  Median (25th, 75th) 566.0 (420.0, 587.5 (368.0, 555.5 (420.5, 720.0)  Log10 viral load <sup>a</sup> N 197 26 171 1.80 (1.16, 2.79)*  Mean (Std Dev) 3.6 (1.1) 4.2 (1.2) 3.6 (1.1)   |   | , ,                 | , ,            | , ,            | , ,            |                     |                     |
| CD4 cell count N 198 26 172 1.00 (1.00, 1.00)  Mean (Std Dev) 591.3 (224.0) 556.1 (158.4) 596.6 (232.2)  Median (25th, 75th) 566.0 (420.0, 720.0) 685.0) 725.5)  Log10 viral load <sup>a</sup> N 197 26 171 1.80 (1.16, 2.79)*  Mean (Std Dev) 3.6 (1.1) 4.2 (1.2) 3.6 (1.1)   |   | Median (25th, 75th) |                |                |                |                     |                     |
| Mean (Std Dev) 591.3 (224.0) 556.1 (158.4) 596.6 (232.2) Median (25th, 75th) 566.0 (420.0, 587.5 (368.0, 555.5 (420.5, 720.0) 685.0) 725.5)  Log10 viral load <sup>a</sup> N 197 26 171 1.80 (1.16, 2.79)* Mean (Std Dev) 3.6 (1.1) 4.2 (1.2) 3.6 (1.1)  | CD4 cell count                          | N                   |                |                |                | 1.00 (1.00, 1.00)   |                     |
| Median (25th, 75th) 566.0 (420.0, 587.5 (368.0, 555.5 (420.5, 720.0) 685.0) 725.5)  Log10 viral load <sup>a</sup> N 197 26 171 1.80 (1.16, 2.79)*  Mean (Std Dev) 3.6 (1.1) 4.2 (1.2) 3.6 (1.1)  | es i celi count                         |                     |                |                |                | 1.00 (1.00) 1.00)   |                     |
| Tog10 viral load <sup>a</sup> N 197 26 171 1.80 (1.16, 2.79)* Mean (Std Dev) 3.6 (1.1) 4.2 (1.2) 3.6 (1.1)   |   | , ,                 | , ,            | , ,            | , ,            |                     |                     |
| Log10 viral load <sup>a</sup> N 197 26 171 1.80 (1.16, 2.79)* Mean (Std Dev) 3.6 (1.1) 4.2 (1.2) 3.6 (1.1)   |   | caiaii (2301, 7301) |                |                |                |                     |                     |
| Mean (Std Dev) 3.6 (1.1) 4.2 (1.2) 3.6 (1.1)   | Log10 viral load <sup>a</sup>           | N                   |                |                |                | 1.80 (1.16, 2.79)*  |                     |
|  | 209.0 71141 1044                        |                     |                |                |                |                     |                     |
|  |   | Median (25th, 75th) | 3.8 (3.0, 4.3) | 4.3 (3.1, 5.1) | 3.7 (3.0, 4.3) |                     |                     |

Note: (SDS) (alpha in our data = 0.49), (SRI) (alpha in our data = 0.95).

#### Discussion

This study describes substantial under-reporting of alcohol consumption to clinic counselors among ART-naïve HIV-infected clients, with more than a quarter (28.8%) testing PEth-positive after denying past year alcohol use. Testing PEth-positive after denying alcohol use on the baseline research interview was less common (13.1%); this may be because the participants had consented to have a breathalyzer test and blood biomarker testing to detect alcohol use, and were ensured confidentiality in the research protocol. Another study in this setting found increased self-reports after consent for

alcohol biomarker testing (Hahn et al., 2012) and other research studies found PEth-confirmed under-reporting (Bajunirwe et al., 2014; Hahn, Emenyonu, et al., 2016). While under-reporting in any setting may be due to social desirability and recall bias, the increased level of under-reporting to clinic counselors suggests that patients may fear being denied ART (Papas et al., 2012) or some other consequence if they report drinking alcohol at the clinic.

We found that self-reported past unhealthy drinking was associated with higher odds of under-reporting among participants denying alcohol use in both groups. This suggests that probing about past unhealthy alcohol

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Variable was not included in final multivariable model because at time of research, VL testing was not a routinely conducted test hence not likely to be a feasible variable to affect under-report of alcohol consumption.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>For the Participants who tested PEth-positive: N = 26, median PEth 57.55 (IQR 13.51–625.00), Mean PEth 289.45 (SD = 403.76).

<sup>\*</sup>P < 0.05.

<sup>\*\*</sup>*P* < 0.10.

consumption may help detect current alcohol consumption among deniers. The literate study participants had lower odds of under-reporting alcohol consumption to research staff, consistent with previous study findings in Uganda (Bajunirwe et al., 2014).

Valid reporting of alcohol use is needed for HIV clinical care, in order to assess and intervene on alcoholrelated adherence challenges and/or alcohol-related drug toxicities. Under-reporting of alcohol consumption may limit the reach of interventions that have been efficacious in reducing alcohol consumption in SSA (Papas et al., 2011; Zule et al., 2014). Valid reporting is also essential to reaching valid conclusions in research studies.

The limitations of this study include that it was limited to ART-naive HIV-infected individuals with high CD4, and may not be generalizable to those with lower CD4 counts or on ART. PEth is not 100% sensitive for detecting any alcohol use (Hahn, Anton, et al., 2016) so we might have missed a few under-reporters. Lastly, the study had modest sample size, which may have reduced the power to detect statistically significant differences. This may explain why some associations were statistically significant in the analyses within Group 2 (n = 198) but not within Group 1 (n = 104). The study strengths included the use of PEth which is highly specific, hence a PEthpositive result when alcohol abstaining is reported, especially for 3 months or a year, is highly suggestive of under-report (Hahn, Anton, et al., 2016).

In this study, we found that a substantial fraction of ART-naïve HIV-infected patients denying alcohol use to clinic counselors are indeed consuming alcohol. Underreporting to researchers testing alcohol biomarkers exists, but to a lesser magnitude. Probing for past unhealthy alcohol use may help identify current drinkers. Strategies to improve alcohol self-report are needed to improve clinical care in the Ugandan HIV clinic setting.

# **Acknowledgments**

We would like to acknowledge the ISS clinic counselors at Mbarara Regional Hospital and the research assistants for the immense work collecting the data used. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

## **Disclosure statement**

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

## **Funding**

This study was supported by grants from the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism [grant number U01

AA020776], [grant number U24 AA020778], [grant number U24 AA020779] and [grant number K24 AA022586].

#### References

- Babor, T. F., Higgins-Biddle, J., Saunders, J., & Monteiro, M. (2001). Audit: The alcohol use disorders identification test. Geneva: World Health Organization.
- Bajunirwe, F., Haberer, J. E., Boum II, Y., Hunt, P., Mocello, R., Martin, J. N., ... Hahn, J. A. (2014). Comparison of selfreported alcohol consumption to phosphatidylethanol measurement among HIV-infected patients initiating antiretroviral treatment in Southwestern Uganda. PLoS One, 9 (12), e113152. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0113152
- Bradley, K. A., DeBenedetti, A. F., Volk, R. J., Williams, E. C., Frank, D., & Kivlahan, D. R. (2007). AUDIT-C as a brief screen for alcohol misuse in primary care. Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research, 31(7), 1208–1217. doi:10.1111/j.1530-0277.2007.00403.x
- Bush, K., Kivlahan, D. R., McDonell, M. B., Fihn, S. D., & Bradley, K. A. (1998). The AUDIT alcohol consumption questions (AUDIT-C): An effective brief screening test for problem drinking. Ambulatory care quality improvement project (ACQUIP). Alcohol use disorders identification test. Archives of Internal Medicine, 158(16), 1789-1795.
- Global Status Report on Alcohol and Health. (2014). Geneva: World Health Organization.
- Hahn, J. A., Anton, R. F., & Javors, M. A. (2016). The formation, elimination, interpretation, and future research needs of phosphatidylethanol for research studies and clinical practice. Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research, 40, 2292-2295. doi:10.1111/acer.13213
- Hahn, J. A., Emenyonu, N. I., Fatch, R., Muyindike, W. R., Kekiibina, A., Carrico, A. W., ... Shiboski, S. (2016). Declining and rebounding unhealthy alcohol consumption during the first year of HIV care in rural Uganda, using phosphatidylethanol to augment self-report. Addiction, 111(2), 272–279. doi:10.1111/add.13173
- Hahn, J. A., Fatch, R., Kabami, J., Mayanja, B., Emenyonu, N. I., Martin, J., & Bangsberg, D. R. (2012). Self-report of alcohol use increases when specimens for alcohol biomarkers are collected in persons with HIV in Uganda. Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes, 61(4), e63-64. doi:10.1097/QAI.0b013e318267c0f1
- Heil, E. L., Townsend, M. L., Shipp, K., Clarke, A., & Johnson, M. D. (2010). Incidence of severe hepatotoxicity related to antiretroviral therapy in HIV/HCV coinfected patients. AIDS Research and Treatment, 2010, 1-4.
- Ironson, G., Solomon, G. F., Balbin, E. G., O'Cleirigh, C., George, A., Kumar, M., ... Woods, T. E. (2002). The Ironson-Woods Spirituality/Religiousness Index is associated with long survival, health behaviors, less distress, and low cortisol in people with HIV/AIDS. Annals of Behavioral Medicine, 24(1), 34-48.
- Jones, J., Jones, M., Plate, C., & Lewis, D. (2011). The detection of 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanol in human dried blood spots. *Analytical Methods*, 3, 1101–1106.
- Justice, A. C., Holmes, W., Gifford, A. L., Rabeneck, L., Zackin, R., Sinclair, G., ... Wu, A. W. (2001). Development and validation of a self-completed HIV symptom index. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 54(Suppl. 1), S77-90.

- Morojele, N., Nkosi, S., Kekwaletswe, C., Saban, A., & Parry, C. (2013). Review of research on alcohol and HIV in sub-Saharan Africa. Policy Brief: February 2013: South African Medical Research Council.
- Papas, R. K., Gakinya, B. N., Baliddawa, J. B., Martino, S., Bryant, K. J., Meslin, E. M., & Sidle, J. E. (2012). Ethical issues in a stage 1 cognitive-behavioral therapy feasibility study and trial to reduce alcohol use among HIV-infected outpatients in western Kenya. *Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics: An International Journal*, 7(3), 29–37. doi:10.1525/jer.2012.7.3.29
- Papas, R. K., Sidle, J. E., Gakinya, B. N., Baliddawa, J. B., Martino, S., Mwaniki, M. M., ... Maisto, S. A. (2011). Treatment outcomes of a stage 1 cognitive-behavioral trial to reduce alcohol use among human immunodeficiency virus-infected out-patients in western Kenya. *Addiction*, 106(12), 2156–2166. doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.2011.03518.x
- Pithey, A., & Parry, C. (2009). Descriptive systematic review of Sub-Saharan African studies on the association between alcohol use and HIV infection. SAHARA-Journal: Journal of Social Aspects of HIV/AIDS, 6(4), 155–169.

- Reynolds, W. (1982). Development of reliable and valid short forms of the Marlowe-Crowne social desirability scale. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 38(1), 119–125.
- Uganda Ministry of Health: Addendum to the National Antiretroviral Treatment Guidelines. (2013). Retrieved from https://aidsfree.usaid.gov/sites/default/files/tx\_uganda\_add\_to\_art\_2013.pdf http://www.webcitation.org/6l9wCVoBH
- Williams, E. C., Hahn, J. A., Saitz, R., Bryant, K., Lira, M. C., & Samet, J. H. (2016). Alcohol use and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection: Current knowledge, implications, and future directions. Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research, 40(10), 2056–2072. doi:10.1111/acer.13204
- Wu, A. (1999). MOS-HIV health survey users manual. Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD.
- Zule, W., Myers, B., Carney, T., Novak, S. P., McCormick, K., & Wechsberg, W. M. (2014). Alcohol and drug use outcomes among vulnerable women living with HIV: Results from the Western Cape Women.s health CoOp. AIDS Care, 26(12), 1494–1499. doi:10.1080/09540121.2014. 933769